



**Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory
School of Veterinary Medicine
University of California
Davis, California**

Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species

FINAL REPORT

I. Werner, L.A. Deanovic, M. Stillway, D. Markiewicz

December 6, 2010

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the assistance and cooperation of the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant in making this project possible. The UC Davis Fish Culture and Conservation Laboratory, Byron, CA, provided larval delta smelt. The California State Water Resources Control Board provided funding for the exposure experiments.

Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 4 |
| 2. BACKGROUND | 5 |
| 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS | 6 |
| 3.1 Test Animals | 6 |
| 3.2 SRWTP Effluent Test | 7 |
| 3.2.1 Test Design | 7 |
| 3.2.2 Sample Preparation | 8 |
| 3.2.3 Water Quality Parameters | 8 |
| 3.3 Tests with Delta Smelt | 9 |
| 3.3.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures | 9 |
| 3.3.2 Reference Toxicant Tests | 11 |
| 3.4 Tests with Larval Rainbow Trout | 14 |
| 3.4.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures | 14 |
| 3.4.2 Reference Toxicant Tests | 14 |
| 4. RESULTS | 15 |
| 4.1 Tests with Delta Smelt | 15 |
| 4.1.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures | 15 |
| 4.1.2 Reference Toxicant Tests | 20 |
| 4.2 Tests with Larval Rainbow Trout | 20 |
| 4.2.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures | 20 |
| 5. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL | 25 |
| 5.1 Reference Toxicant Tests with Delta Smelt | 25 |
| 5.2 Reference Toxicant Tests with Rainbow Trout | 25 |
| 5.3 Receiving Temperature | 25 |
| 5.4 Test Temperature | 26 |
| 6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 26 |
| 7. UNCERTAINTIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 27 |
| 8. REFERENCES | 29 |
| DATA APPENDIX | 31 |
| RESPONSE TO COMMENTS | 55 |

1. Executive Summary

This study was performed as a collaborative effort between the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB) and the UC Davis Aquatic Toxicology Laboratory (UCD-ATL), with assistance from the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SRWTP), to assess the potential toxicity of treated wastewater effluent from the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant to larval delta smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) and rainbow trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*). Concurrent tests with rainbow trout were performed at AquaScience Inc., Davis, CA.

Following previous studies performed in 2008 and 2009 (Werner et al., 2009 a, b), three exposure experiments were conducted during April-June, 2010 to measure effect concentration of SRWTP effluent. Test concentrations were 4.5, 9, 18 and 28 % effluent. The dilution water used for testing was ambient water collected from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend upstream of the SRWTP. Garcia Bend water was collected daily, one day prior to being used for testing throughout the 7-d exposure periods. SRWTP effluent in the form of 24-h composite samples was also collected daily. Control treatments for delta smelt consisted of water obtained from the delta smelt culturing facility (UC Davis Fish Culture and Conservation Laboratory, Byron, CA), water from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend, and delta smelt culturing facility water adjusted with de-ionized water to the conductivity of Sacramento River water (low-EC control). Experiments were conducted concurrently with larval delta smelt and larval rainbow trout. Reference toxicant tests were performed for both species to account for differences in organism sensitivity. Test protocols specified that delta smelt survival in hatchery water controls be at least 60 percent for the test results to be considered acceptable. For rainbow trout, the test acceptability criterion was 90 % survival in laboratory control water.

All tests met test acceptability criteria. There were no significant effects of effluent on survival of larval delta smelt or rainbow trout. Mean total ammonia/um and un-ionized ammonia concentrations in the highest test concentrations of effluent (28 %) were 6.12 - 7.82 mg/L and 0.076 - 0.144 mg/L, respectively. In the test initiated May 20, 2010, survival in some treatments containing Sacramento River water was lower than in the respective low conductivity control suggesting that toxicants were present in the river.

2. Background

Contaminants and their potential deleterious effects to fish in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta are of particular interest due to negative long-term population trends and a possible step decline in numbers of several pelagic fish species in the years 2000-2001 (Feyrer et al., 2007). This trend, known as the pelagic organism decline (POD), has been the focus of an increasing number of investigations over the past several years, but no single cause has so far been identified. Delta smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*) is one of the species of concern in the POD. It is endemic to the Delta and has been federally listed as threatened since 1993.

The main source of ammonia/um in the lower Sacramento River is the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SRWTP). The term ammonia/um refers to two chemical species which are in equilibrium in water (NH_3 , un-ionized and NH_4^+ , ionized) according to $\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_4^+$. Total ammonia plus ammonium can be measured, but toxicity is primarily attributable to the un-ionized form, which can be calculated based on pH, conductivity and temperature of the water. In general, more un-ionized ammonia and greater toxicity exist at higher pH, because its relative proportion increases with increasing pH according to the following equations (US EPA, 1985):

$$1 / (1 + 10^{\text{pKa}-\text{pH}}) = \% \text{NH}_3$$

where: $\text{pKa} = 0.0902 + [2729.9/(\text{°C}+273.2)]$

Throughout this report, we refer to the sum of ammonia and ammonium as ammonia/um, and to the un-ionized form as ammonia.

The Sacramento River drains into delta smelt spawning and larval nursery areas, thus toxicants present in river water could potentially affect early life stages of delta smelt found downstream. Werner et al. (2010) found maximum ambient ammonia/um and ammonia concentration of 0.59 mg/L (at Hood) and 0.025 mg/L (Cache Slough near the confluence with Lindsey Slough), respectively. During 2006-2010, ammonia/um and ammonia concentrations monitored in the Delta were consistently highest in the Sacramento River at Grand Island (POD site 711) and Hood, and in Cache Slough near the confluence with Lindsey Slough.

The pH-dependent US EPA acute water quality criteria (criterion maximum concentration, CMC) for ammonia/um when salmonids are present ranges and the pH- and temperature-dependent chronic water quality criteria (30-day average) for water bodies where early life stages of fish are present are provided in Table 1 (USEPA 1999). Corresponding ammonia concentrations were calculated for pH and temperature extremes measured in the Sacramento River (Werner et al. 2008) and a water conductivity of 150 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, which is commonly measured in the lower Sacramento River.

Table 1. US EPA Acute and Chronic Criteria for total ammonia/um for salmonids and fish early life stages present (USEPA, 1999) and corresponding calculated un-ionized ammonia concentrations at pH and temperature extremes measured in the Sacramento River at Hood.

| | T (°C) | pH | Acute Criterion (mg/L) | 30-d Chronic Criterion (mg/L) |
|------------|-----------|-----|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ammonia/um | 24 | 8.3 | 4.37 | 0.827 |
| “ | 6.1 | 6.6 | 46.84 | 6.57 |
| Ammonia | 24 | 8.3 | 0.396 | 0.075 |
| “ | 6.1 | 6.6 | 0.024 | 0.0034 |

In 2008 and 2009, we showed that ammonia/um at levels reported for the Sacramento River below SRWTP (0.6 – 1 mg/L) were not acutely toxic to larval (47-51 DPH) and juvenile (149 DPH) delta smelt. However, toxicity of SRWTP effluent was higher than would be expected based on ammonia/um concentration alone, and it was concluded that additional unknown contaminants increased effluent toxicity to larval delta smelt. Effluent effect concentrations determined in 2009 were 25.7% (7-d LC50), 18.3% (LOEC) and 9% (NOEC). This corresponded to total ammonia/um effect concentrations of 5.4 mg/L (7-d LC50), 3.92 mg/L (LOEC) and 1.96 mg/L (NOEC). In order to determine the variability of SRWTP effluent toxicity three additional toxicity tests with larval delta smelt were conducted in 2010. The suitability of rainbow trout as a surrogate test species was also investigated.

The study presented here addressed the following questions:

1. What is the range of no (NOEC) and low (LOEC) effect ranges of SRWTP effluent mixed into Sacramento River water from Garcia Bend for delta smelt?
2. Can larval rainbow trout be used as a surrogate species, if needed, for future toxicity identification evaluations in place of delta smelt?

3. Materials and Methods

3.1 Test Animals

Larval delta smelt (*H. transpacificus*) were obtained from the UC Davis Fish Culture and Conservation Laboratory (FCCL) in Byron, CA. Fish were transported to UCD-ATL in black 2-gal buckets at a maximum density of 150 fish per bucket. Containers were placed in coolers packed lightly with ice to maintain a temperature of $16 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ during transport. At test initiation, fish were 47-48 days old their fork length was 17.5 ± 1.8 (mean \pm SD; n=10; April 2010), 13.6 ± 0.23 (May 2010), and 12.7 ± 1.4 (June 2010) mm, with corresponding wet weights of 16 ± 7 , 7 ± 3 , and 5 ± 3 mg. Water from FCCL was used

for performance control, low conductivity control treatments and reference toxicant tests (filtered). This water was pumped directly from the intake channel of the H.O. Banks Pumping Facility near Byron, CA, then passed through a series of sedimentation beds containing natural vegetation to allow any suspended solids in the water to precipitate. It was then exposed to an ozonation system to eliminate potentially harmful microbes. Ozonated FCCL water was transported to UCD-ATL, and appropriate control waters were prepared for the test one day before fish were collected.

Larval rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) were obtained from Thomas Fish Company (Anderson, CA). Upon receipt at the lab, fish were acclimated in a 10-gal glass aquarium to moderately hard (US EPA, 2002) laboratory control water for 24 h prior to reference toxicant tests, and 48 h prior to effluent tests. Temperature was maintained at 12 ± 2 °C, and fish were fed with Silver Cup(TM) trout chow #1 crumble three times a day.

3.2 SRWTP Effluent Tests

3.2.1 Test Design

Exposure experiments (7 d) were initiated with larval delta smelt and larval rainbow trout on April 22, May 20 and June 17, 2010. They consisted of a series of increasing effluent concentrations (4.5, 9, 18, 28 %) and controls (Table 2). Concentrations selected were based on effluent effect concentrations determined in 2009. The no observed effect concentration (NOEC) and lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC) for SRWTP effluent determined in 2009 were 9 and 18.3 %, respectively, corresponding to 1.96 and 3.92 mg/L total ammonia/um (Werner et al. 2009 b). The LC50s were 25.7 % effluent and 5.4 mg/L total ammonia/um. The dilution water used for all tests was ambient water collected from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend, approximately 2 miles upstream from the SRWTP. Garcia Bend water was collected daily, one day prior to being used for testing throughout the 7-d test. SRWTP effluent in the form of 24-h composite samples was also collected daily. Delta smelt hatchery water served as the control treatment and performance control for tests with this species. Laboratory control water (DIEPAMH) served as control treatment and performance control for concurrent rainbow trout tests. Additional reference treatments were: 1. Water from Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; 2. Low EC/turbidity control consisting of hatchery water diluted with de-ionized water to match EC and turbidity of Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (delta smelt tests only), and 3. Low EC control consisting of laboratory control water (DIEPAMH) diluted with de-ionized water to match EC of Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (rainbow trout test only). A mixture of antibiotics directed at gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria was added in all tests with delta smelt.

Table 2. Treatment list for larval delta smelt and rainbow trout tests.

| Treatment* | Delta Smelt (Flow-Through) | Rainbow Trout (Static Renewal) |
|---|--|--|
| Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (SRGB) | X | X |
| SRGB w. 4.5% SRWTP | X | X |
| SRGB w. 9% SRWTP | X | X |
| SRGB w. 18% SRWTP | X | X |
| SRGB w. 28% SRWTP | X | X |
| Low Conductivity/ Low Turbidity Control | Delta smelt hatchery water adjusted to match SRGB conductivity and turbidity | Deionized water adjusted to US EPA moderately hard specifications (DIEPAMH) at SRGB conductivity |
| Performance Control | Hatchery water at delta smelt rearing conductivity and 11 NTU | DIEPAMH |
| Reference Toxicant | Copper Chloride (CuCl ₂) | Sodium Chloride (NaCl) |

*Antibiotics were added to all delta smelt tests.

3.2.2 Sample Preparation

On seven consecutive days, CVRWQCB staff collected 55-60 gal (approx. 220 L) of water from mid-channel in the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (SRGB) in 5-gal clear plastic cubitainers. Samples were collected using a battery-operated bilge pump with a 20 ft hose mounted on a buoy. The pump and hose were flushed with river water for a minimum of three minutes each day prior to collecting the samples. Cubitainers were rinsed with river water three times prior to filling. On the same day, 5-6 gal of SRWTP effluent (24-h composite sample) were provided by SRWTP in 1-gal amber plastic cubitainers. Samples were transported on ice to UCD-ATL. Within one hour of sample delivery to UCD-ATL, the SRWTP effluent from different cubitainers was composited in a large low density polyethylene (LDPE) or high density polyethylene (HDPE) container. Ambient SRGB water was composited in a 55 gal HDPE container. Subsamples were used to prepare ammonia/um exposure concentrations (Table 2) for the larval delta smelt and the parallel larval rainbow trout test. Dilutions of SRWTP effluent were prepared daily. After each solution was thoroughly stirred, total ammonia/um was measured.

3.2.3 Water Quality Parameters

Sample Receipt: The following water quality parameters were measured upon sample receipt: turbidity, pH, temperature, total hardness (mg/L as CaCO₃), alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO₃), specific conductance (SC), dissolved oxygen (DO), and ammonia/um. Ammonia/um was measured within 30 min of sample receipt. Resulting data are shown in Tables 3 a-c.

Effluent Test: Each day, total ammonia/um, hardness, alkalinity, pH, DO, electrical conductivity (EC), SC, turbidity and temperature were measured in fresh test solutions prior to animal exposure. During the test, ammonia/um, turbidity, pH, DO, EC and temperature were measured in exposure aquaria twice daily at 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM. For measurements during exposure, a subsample was obtained by pooling approximately 50 ml from each of the replicate tanks per treatment.

Ammonia/um was measured using a HACH DR/890 Colorimeter Meter and a HACH AmVer™ Low Range Ammonia Test 'N Tube™ Reagent Set 0-2.5 mg/L N (HACH Inc., Catalog # 26045-45). This low-range reagent kit was used for the majority of ammonia/um measurements because it was found to be more accurate than the high range kit (HACH AmVer™ High Range Ammonia Test 'N Tube™ Reagent Set 0-50 mg/L N, Catalog # 26069-450). When concentrations exceeded the low range maximum, samples were diluted with de-ionized water.

3.3 Tests with Delta Smelt

3.3.1 Effluent Exposures

No standard test protocols exist for delta smelt, and procedures were based on protocols developed at the UCD-ATL. Delta smelt hatchery water served as the control treatment and performance control, and the test acceptability criterion was $\geq 60\%$ mean survival. Survival in ammonia and effluent treatments was statistically compared to survival in Sacramento River water from Garcia Bend.

After arrival of larval delta smelt at UCD-ATL, fish used in effluent and low conductivity control treatments were acclimated for two days to the specific conductance of the Sacramento River water collected at Garcia Bend. The transport buckets containing the fish were placed into a temperature-regulated water bath maintained at 16°C. One-liter beakers were used to carefully collect fish from the buckets, and fish were gently poured into a glass pan containing water at a depth of approximately 2 cm. Fish were then gently scooped up using 100 mL beakers and released into 2.5-gal exposure tanks at random, by submerging the beaker and allowing fish to swim freely into the tanks. Ten to twelve fish were placed into each of the test tanks (4 replicates per treatment) containing 7 L of hatchery water for a 48-h EC acclimation period (Werner et al., 2008).

Fish in all tanks except the performance controls were acclimated with hatchery water diluted with distilled water to match the conductivity of SRGB, while the fish in the performance control treatment were acclimated to the exposure chambers at a conductivity matching the fish's rearing conditions. Nanno 3600™, a concentrated *Nannochloropsis* algae solution (68 billion cells per ml; Reed Mariculture, Inc. Campbell, CA) was added to increase the turbidity of the acclimation water to minimize stress. Antibiotics (Maracyn and Maracyn-2, Virbac AH Inc., Fort Worth TX) were added at the manufacturer's recommended dose throughout the acclimating and testing period. Final concentrations were 5.3 mg/L Maracyn (erythromycin) and 0.26 mg/L Maracyn-2 (minocycline). A more detailed description of the acclimation procedure is provided by Werner et al. (2008).

At test initiation, and after 4 d of exposure, water was drawn down from 7 L to approximately 2 L to allow for an accurate count of living fish. If more than 10 fish were alive in a replicate, the extra fish were counted, but were not removed from the tank in order to minimize handling stress. During the exposure period, water was renewed daily by means of a drip system at a rate of 1 mL/min. Turbidity of hatchery control water was adjusted daily to 11 NTU using Nanno 3600™ to match rearing conditions. Turbidity and EC of Low EC Control water was adjusted to match Garcia Bend conditions. Dead fish were counted and removed daily, as well as any excess food and detritus. The feeding behavior of fish was monitored throughout the duration of the test.

3.3.2 Reference Toxicant Tests

In accordance with the protocols agreed to by SRWTP, and described in the “2008 Ammonia Toxicity Sampling and Analysis Plan: The Effects of Wastewater Treatment Effluent Associated Contaminants on Delta Smelt”, each test included a static renewal reference toxicant (RT) test with copper as the toxicant to monitor the sensitivity of delta smelt larvae. Fish from each batch of delta smelt larvae used for the effluent tests were exposed to a range of copper concentrations for 96 h.

Fish were acclimated for 24 h to test conditions in containers used for transportation from FCCL to minimize handling stress. Hatchery water was adjusted with Instant Ocean to an SC of 900 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and a pH of 7.9. These conditions as well as the acclimation period were chosen based on the conditions of previous copper LC50 studies to ensure comparability, and designed to mimic average conditions in the Delta.

Static renewal tests were performed with hatchery water filtered using a 1 micron filter and adjusted to an SC of 900 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ and a pH of 7.9. Copper was dissolved in water and spiked into treatment solutions prior to test initiation and again on day 2, when 80% water was renewed. Tests were conducted in a water bath maintained at 16 °C, under low light conditions, using 1-gal black buckets with lids each containing 3.5 L of sample water. Lids were allowed to rest on top to provide ambient light at less than one ft-candle. Exposure water was not aerated. Fish were fed *Artemia nauplii* three times daily during the acclimation period and experimental exposures.

Treatments consisted of four Cu^{2+} concentrations (27, 53, 106 and 213 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ Cu^{2+} , nominal) and a control. Concentrations were selected based on the previously determined 96-h LC₅₀ for larval delta smelt (85.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ Cu^{2+}) and set at 0.31, 0.63, 1.25 and 2.5 toxic units. After acclimation, five fish were randomly placed into each of three replicate test containers. Mortality was recorded daily using a small flashlight. On day 2, 80% of test solutions were renewed, and dead fish, excess *Artemia nauplii* and detritus were removed. At the end of the 96-h exposure period, the number of surviving fish was recorded. Water samples were submitted to the Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Pollution Control Laboratory for analytical determination of copper concentrations.

Water Quality: Prior to animal exposure (test days 0 and 2), total ammonia/um, hardness, alkalinity, pH, DO, electrical conductivity (EC), SC, turbidity and temperature were measured in test solutions. During the test, and before water was renewed or test take-down, DO, pH, temperature and ammonia/um were measured (days 2, 4).

Table 3 a. Water quality parameters measured upon sample receipt of 100% effluent from the Sacramento Regional Water Treatment Plant and of ambient river water from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend for use in an *H. transpacificus* exposure initiated on April 22, 2010.

| Water | Test Day | Date | Temp (°C) | EC (µS/cm) | SC (µS/cm) | DO (mg/L) | pH | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | Total Cl2 (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 0 | 4/21/2010 | 10.2 | 124 | 169 | 10.4 | 7.67 | 0.32 | 0.003 | 0.12 | 31.30 | 68 | 68 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 1 | 4/22/2010 | 10.4 | 136 | 162 | 9.6 | 8.03 | 0.28 | 0.005 | 0.09 | 10.19 | 68 | 68 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 2 | 4/23/2010 | 13.1 | 127 | 166 | 9.3 | 7.85 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.19 | 24.73 | 68 | 68 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 3 | 4/24/2010 | 12.6 | 118 | 155 | 9.5 | 8.03 | 0.06 | 0.001 | 0.18 | 26.10 | 68 | 66 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 4 | 4/25/2010 | 17.6 | 123 | 144 | 8.9 | 7.82 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.16 | 33.30 | 60 | 60 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 5 | 4/26/2010 | 13.8 | 113 | 143 | 9.0 | 7.73 | 0.07 | 0.001 | 0.18 | 26.50 | 56 | 64 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 6 | 4/27/2010 | 14.0 | 117 | 148 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 13.30 | 68 | 62 |
| SRWTP | 0 | 4/21/2010 | 4.0 | 560 | 812 | 13.4 | 7.64 | 28 | 0.127 | 0.14 | 5.84 | 116 | 148 |
| SRWTP | 1 | 4/22/2010 | 8.2 | 573 | 845 | 9.6 | 6.94 | 30 | 0.038 | 0.15 | 6.19 | 120 | 156 |
| SRWTP | 2 | 4/23/2010 | 7.8 | 584 | 872 | 10.3 | 7.00 | 26 | 0.037 | 0.09 | 5.48 | 128 | 168 |
| SRWTP | 3 | 4/24/2010 | 16.6 | 639 | 755 | 9.2 | 7.02 | 28 | 0.081 | 0.09 | 4.65 | 124 | 160 |
| SRWTP | 4 | 4/25/2010 | 9.6 | 531 | 759 | 9.6 | 6.97 | 24 | 0.037 | 0.14 | 4.40 | 124 | 152 |
| SRWTP | 5 | 4/26/2010 | 13.2 | 579 | 747 | 8.5 | 6.97 | 23 | 0.046 | 0.06 | 4.39 | 128 | 160 |
| SRWTP | 6 | 4/27/2010 | 6.5 | 513 | 789 | 8.8 | 6.98 | 30 | 0.037 | 0.11 | 5.33 | 132 | 164 |

Table 3 b. Water quality parameters measured upon sample receipt of 100% effluent from the Sacramento Regional Water Treatment Plant and of ambient river water from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend for use in an *H. transpacificus* exposure initiated on May 20, 2010.

| Water | Test Day | Date | Temp (°C) | EC (μS/cm) | SC (μS/cm) | DO (mg/L) | pH | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | Total Cl2 (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 0 | 5/19/2010 | 19.7 | 120 | 134 | 9.1 | 7.93 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 5.95 | 52 | 60 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 1 | 5/20/2010 | 11.7 | 99 | 132 | 10.5 | 7.86 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.10 | 4.62 | 56 | 54 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 2 | 5/21/2010 | 14.4 | 114 | 144 | 10.0 | 7.85 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 8.42 | 56 | 56 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 3 | 5/22/2010 | 11.8 | 97 | 130 | 9.4 | 7.58 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 7.25 | 52 | 56 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 4 | 5/23/2010 | 15.3 | 106 | 130 | 9.0 | 8.14 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 0.08 | 7.86 | 52 | 54 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 5 | 5/24/2010 | 15.3 | 104 | 127 | 9.0 | 8.35 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 6.57 | 52 | 52 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 6 | 5/25/2010 | 15.7 | 101 | 123 | 8.9 | 8.23 | 0.04 | 0.002 | 0.08 | 5.81 | 48 | 54 |
| SRWTP | 0 | 5/20/2010 | 6.7 | 574 | 876 | 11.3 | 6.94 | 23 | 0.026 | 0.13 | 5.55 | 132 | 152 |
| SRWTP | 1 | 5/21/2010 | 13.7 | 700 | 897 | 10.2 | 7.23 | 25 | 0.094 | 0.10 | 6.33 | 356 | 174 |
| SRWTP | 2 | 5/22/2010 | 10.9 | 645 | 882 | 9.3 | 6.90 | 26 | 0.037 | 0.93 | 5.62 | 132 | 168 |
| SRWTP | 3 | 5/23/2010 | 12.8 | 635 | 831 | 9.2 | 7.07 | 24 | 0.058 | 0.08 | 6.14 | 116 | 142 |
| SRWTP | 4 | 5/24/2010 | 13.8 | 632 | 803 | 8.9 | 7.05 | 25 | 0.063 | 0.05 | 5.42 | 112 | 138 |
| SRWTP | 5 | 5/25/2010 | 13.8 | 629 | 796 | 9.0 | 7.10 | 26 | 0.073 | 0.09 | 5.59 | 112 | 140 |
| SRWTP | 6 | 5/26/2010 | 10.3 | 599 | 838 | 8.5 | 6.90 | 25 | 0.034 | 0.10 | 6.38 | 112 | 142 |

Table 3 c. Water quality parameters measured upon sample receipt of 100% effluent from the Sacramento Regional Water Treatment Plant and of ambient river water from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend for use in an *H. transpacificus* exposure initiated on June 17, 2010.

| Water | Test Day | Date | Temp (°C) | EC (µS/cm) | SC (µS/cm) | DO (mg/L) | pH | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | Total Cl2 (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 0 | 6/16/2010 | 9.6 | 100 | 133 | 8.9 | 7.64 | 0.03 | 0.000 | 0.06 | 4.43 | 42 | 46 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 1 | 6/17/2010 | 13.4 | 91 | 115 | 8.8 | 7.57 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 4.77 | 44 | 50 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 2 | 6/18/2010 | 16.0 | 95 | 115 | 8.6 | 7.53 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.05 | 7.49 | 40 | 46 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 3 | 6/19/2010 | 12.3 | 99 | 131 | 8.9 | 7.56 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.09 | 8.12 | 44 | 48 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 4 | 6/20/2010 | 9.4 | 92 | 130 | 8.7 | 7.76 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.07 | 6.73 | 48 | 48 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 5 | 6/21/2010 | 15.2 | 105 | 127 | 8.3 | 7.59 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 0.04 | 6.06 | 44 | 52 |
| Sac. River at Garcia Bend | 6 | 6/22/2010 | 15.8 | 115 | 138 | 8.6 | 7.76 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 0.07 | 5.86 | 48 | 52 |
| SRWTP | 0 | 6/17/2010 | 11.6 | 606 | 810 | 9.1 | 6.04 | 23 | 0.005 | 0.11 | 4.55 | 112 | 140 |
| SRWTP | 1 | 6/18/2010 | 8.4 | 573 | 840 | 8.8 | 6.79 | 24 | 0.022 | 0.06 | 5.46 | 136 | 142 |
| SRWTP | 2 | 6/19/2010 | 9.4 | 588 | 834 | 9.0 | 6.80 | 22 | 0.022 | 0.07 | 5.02 | 112 | 132 |
| SRWTP | 3 | 6/20/2010 | 7.4 | 546 | 826 | 8.3 | 6.88 | 21 | 0.022 | 0.11 | 4.61 | 108 | 126 |
| SRWTP | 4 | 6/21/2010 | 6.0 | 512 | 788 | 8.9 | 6.89 | 17 | 0.016 | 0.09 | 3.67 | 112 | 122 |
| SRWTP | 5 | 6/22/2010 | 4.8 | 465 | 728 | 9.7 | 7.10 | 20 | 0.028 | 0.07 | 4.15 | 112 | 122 |
| SRWTP | 6 | 6/23/2010 | 12.6 | 565 | 738 | 9.6 | 6.87 | 21 | 0.032 | 0.11 | 4.32 | 108 | 136 |

3.4 Tests with Larval Rainbow Trout

3.4.1 Effluent Exposures

Tests with larval 15-30 day old rainbow trout were conducted concurrently with delta smelt exposures. Test protocols followed procedures described in “Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms” (US EPA, 2002), except that the test duration was 7 d instead of the standard 4 d. De-ionized water amended with dry salts to US EPA moderately hard standards (DIEPAMH) was the laboratory control water used in these tests. For the 7-d test, the test acceptability criterion was 90% control survival.

Test treatments included four concentrations of SRWTP effluent as described above and in Table 1, a standard laboratory control, and a Low-EC control. Tests initiated with 15-30 day-old *O. mykiss* used four replicate 5-L low-density polyethylene plastic buckets with lids loosely attached, each containing 4 L of test solution and ten fish. Eighty percent of the test solution was renewed daily, at which time debris and dead animals were removed. Fish were fed one pinch of Silver CupTM Trout Chow #1 crumble (provided by the *O. mykiss* supplier) per replicate daily, two hours prior to water renewal. Test chambers were incubated in a temperature-controlled water bath maintained at 12 ± 2 °C under fluorescent and ambient light with a 16h light: 8h dark photoperiod. Mortality was recorded daily and at test termination. Water quality measurements (DO, pH, total ammonia and temperature) were measured daily using pooled subsamples from replicate beakers.

3.4.2 Reference Toxicant Tests

Reference toxicant tests with *O. mykiss* were conducted with each effluent test, however, they were initiated one day prior in order to match the timing of the delta smelt reference toxicant tests. Methods followed the 96-h acute toxicity test protocols established by US EPA (2002). Tests consisted of a standard laboratory control, and four increasing concentrations of sodium chloride. Eighty percent of the test solution was renewed after 48 h, at which time dead fish and debris was removed. Fish were fed three times daily with Silver CupTM Trout Chow #1 crumble. Test chambers were incubated in a temperature-controlled water bath maintained at 12 ± 2 °C under fluorescent and ambient light with a 16h light: 8h dark photoperiod. Mortality was recorded daily and at test termination. Water quality measurements (DO, pH, total ammonia and temperature) were measured daily using pooled subsamples from replicate beakers.

4. Results

4.1 Tests with Delta Smelt

4.1.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures

All delta smelt tests met test acceptability criteria with survival in performance controls being ≥ 84.2 . There were no significant effects of effluent on survival of larval delta smelt (Tables 4a-c and 5a-c). Mean total ammonia/um and un-ionized ammonia concentrations in the highest test concentration of effluent (28 %) were 6.12-7.82 mg/L and 0.076 - 0.144 mg/L, respectively. In the test initiated May 20, 2010, survival in treatments containing Sacramento River (SRGB) water was lower than in the respective low conductivity control (Table 4 b), suggesting that Sacramento River water alone was somewhat detrimental to delta smelt survival. Variability in these treatments was high, but the difference was statistically significant in the 9 and 28 % effluent treatments.

Table 4 a. Percent survival of 48-d old delta smelt larvae during a 7-d test initiated April 22, 2010; SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.

| Treatment | Mean Measured Total Ammonia/um (mg/L) | Mean Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-day Survival (%) | |
|---------------------------|--|---|-------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|
| | | | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| SRGB | 0.11 | 0.002 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 95.8 | 4.2 |
| 4.5% SRWTP | 1.10 | 0.022 | 95.5 | 2.6 | 93.2 | 4.4 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 2.13 | 0.041 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 95.6 | 2.5 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 4.22 | 0.075 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97.7 | 2.3 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 6.58 | 0.106 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 95.4 | 2.7 |
| Low EC Control | 0.19 | 0.003 | 95.6 | 2.5 | 93.6 | 2.2 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.24 | 0.006 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 95.8 | 2.4 |

Table 4 b. Percent survival of 47-d old delta smelt larvae during a 7-d test initiated May 20, 2010; SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.

| Treatment | Mean Measured Total Ammonia/um (mg/L) | Mean Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-day Survival (%) | |
|---------------------------|--|---|-------------------|-----|--------------------|------|
| | | | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| SRGB | 0.08 | 0.003 | 79.9 | 7.8 | 75.4 | 10.2 |
| 4.5% SRWTP | 1.31 | 0.039 | 85.4 | 6.3 | 77.6 | 8.3 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 2.54 | 0.064 | 82.8* | 3.9 | 73.7* | 4.0 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 5.04 | 0.100 | 81.4 | 6.3 | 74.4 | 10.5 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 7.82 | 0.144 | 84.0* | 2.4 | 70.3* | 1.5 |
| Low EC Control | 0.18 | 0.008 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 90.5 | 5.5 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.20 | 0.004 | 86.3 | 4.6 | 84.2 | 2.6 |

*significantly different from Low EC Control.

Table 4 c. Percent survival of 48-d old delta smelt larvae during a 7-d test initiated June 17, 2010; SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.

| Treatment | Mean Measured Total Ammonia/um (mg/L) | Mean Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-day Survival (%) | |
|---------------------------|--|---|-------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|
| | | | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| SRGB | 0.08 | 0.001 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 91.3 | 3.7 |
| 4.5% SRWTP | 1.06 | 0.015 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 4.5 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 2.06 | 0.028 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 87.5 | 5.4 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 3.91 | 0.047 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 92.3 | 7.7 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 6.12 | 0.076 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 93.5 | 2.2 |
| Low EC Control | 0.16 | 0.002 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 95.8 | 4.2 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.19 | 0.003 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 95.8 | 2.4 |

Table 5 a. Water quality parameters measured during the 7-day test with 48-d old delta smelt initiated April 22, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | | pH | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-------|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac River at Garcia Bend | 15.0 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 117.9 | 299.7 | 186 | 59 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 7.71 | 8.10 | 7.89 | 0.08 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 14.9 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 141.6 | 314.6 | 208 | 56 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 7.75 | 8.03 | 7.86 | 0.07 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 15.0 | 16.9 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 165.1 | 334.6 | 230 | 53 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 7.67 | 8.03 | 7.85 | 0.09 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 15.0 | 16.9 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 208.2 | 342.4 | 265 | 41 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 7.54 | 8.01 | 7.80 | 0.14 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 14.9 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 255.9 | 382.8 | 314 | 37 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 7.41 | 8.01 | 7.76 | 0.17 |
| Low EC Control | 15.1 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 127.1 | 273.7 | 188 | 45 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 7.48 | 8.23 | 7.79 | 0.26 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 15.1 | 16.6 | 16.0 | 0.4 | 1119 | 1393 | 1167 | 58 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 7.77 | 8.28 | 7.99 | 0.16 |

| Treatment | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Turbidity (NTU) | | | | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------|-------|------|---|---|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R at Garcia Bend | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 6.16 | 33.3 | 12.63 | 7.71 | 65 | 65 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 0.17 | 1.59 | 1.10 | 0.33 | 0.004 | 0.039 | 0.022 | 0.008 | 6.78 | 35.7 | 12.99 | 8.07 | 67 | 66 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 1.32 | 3.06 | 2.13 | 0.57 | 0.022 | 0.076 | 0.041 | 0.014 | 3.60 | 33.9 | 12.03 | 8.02 | 71 | 73 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 2.28 | 6.44 | 4.22 | 1.14 | 0.036 | 0.135 | 0.075 | 0.029 | 6.19 | 30.1 | 11.62 | 6.71 | 75 | 82 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 4.00 | 10.04 | 6.58 | 1.67 | 0.045 | 0.230 | 0.106 | 0.046 | 6.00 | 25.3 | 10.82 | 5.87 | 82 | 95 |
| Low EC Control | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 4.40 | 10.7 | 7.82 | 1.31 | 38 | 29 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 5.76 | 10.7 | 7.97 | 1.23 | 209 | 92 |

Table 5 b. Water quality parameters measured during the 7-day test with 47-d old delta smelt initiated May 20, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | | pH | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-------|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac River at Garcia Bend | 14.9 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 103.8 | 239.3 | 141 | 34 | 8.4 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 7.39 | 8.38 | 8.10 | 0.27 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 15.0 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 129.2 | 274.5 | 168 | 36 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 7.36 | 8.25 | 8.02 | 0.23 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 14.9 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 156.4 | 288.1 | 194 | 34 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 7.47 | 8.19 | 7.96 | 0.17 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 14.9 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 208.3 | 344.4 | 243 | 33 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 7.48 | 8.04 | 7.86 | 0.14 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 15.0 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 249.6 | 322.6 | 289 | 20 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 7.39 | 8.14 | 7.83 | 0.19 |
| Low EC Control | 15.1 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 103.0 | 382.7 | 155 | 59 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 7.66 | 8.57 | 8.18 | 0.24 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 15.0 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 1078 | 1371 | 1118 | 60 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 7.40 | 8.26 | 7.90 | 0.20 |

| Treatment | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Turbidity (NTU) | | | | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------|------|------|---|---|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R at Garcia Bend | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 2.37 | 12.0 | 5.49 | 3.32 | 53 | 54 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 1.02 | 1.46 | 1.31 | 0.12 | 0.009 | 0.065 | 0.039 | 0.015 | 2.23 | 11.3 | 5.32 | 2.85 | 55 | 58 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 1.80 | 2.88 | 2.54 | 0.27 | 0.022 | 0.102 | 0.064 | 0.021 | 2.54 | 10.2 | 5.41 | 2.59 | 61 | 63 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 3.96 | 5.64 | 5.04 | 0.49 | 0.043 | 0.150 | 0.100 | 0.029 | 2.96 | 9.1 | 5.44 | 2.29 | 65 | 72 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 6.76 | 8.76 | 7.82 | 0.59 | 0.054 | 0.253 | 0.144 | 0.052 | 3.12 | 8.9 | 5.20 | 2.03 | 71 | 79 |
| Low EC Control | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 1.82 | 12.4 | 7.57 | 2.41 | 33 | 27 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.05 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 4.47 | 12.1 | 7.89 | 2.63 | 161 | 60 |

Table 5 c. Water quality parameters measured during the 7-day test with 48-d old delta smelt initiated June 17, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | | pH | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-------|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac River at Garcia Bend | 14.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 86.4 | 157.5 | 129 | 18 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 7.51 | 7.93 | 7.71 | 0.12 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 14.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 110.9 | 172.9 | 151 | 15 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 7.57 | 7.92 | 7.71 | 0.10 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 14.5 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 140.3 | 194.1 | 174 | 15 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 7.49 | 7.93 | 7.69 | 0.11 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 14.5 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 193.0 | 233.7 | 219 | 13 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 7.39 | 7.88 | 7.64 | 0.14 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 14.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 238.8 | 294.3 | 271 | 15 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 7.25 | 7.91 | 7.63 | 0.22 |
| Low EC Control | 14.5 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 93.0 | 168.3 | 135 | 22 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 7.30 | 8.00 | 7.58 | 0.15 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 14.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 523.0 | 578.0 | 555 | 16 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 7.59 | 7.96 | 7.75 | 0.10 |

| Treatment | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Turbidity (NTU) | | | | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------|------|------|---|---|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R at Garcia Bend | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.86 | 12.0 | 5.13 | 3.07 | 47 | 48 |
| 4.5 % SRWTP | 0.73 | 1.40 | 1.06 | 0.18 | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.015 | 0.004 | 1.65 | 10.2 | 5.04 | 2.61 | 49 | 60 |
| 9 % SRWTP | 1.42 | 2.84 | 2.06 | 0.34 | 0.017 | 0.046 | 0.028 | 0.008 | 1.76 | 9.2 | 4.93 | 2.36 | 51 | 55 |
| 18 % SRWTP | 2.32 | 5.36 | 3.91 | 0.77 | 0.021 | 0.070 | 0.047 | 0.013 | 1.78 | 9.2 | 4.83 | 2.21 | 56 | 61 |
| 28 % SRWTP | 3.76 | 9.12 | 6.12 | 1.26 | 0.023 | 0.152 | 0.076 | 0.033 | 2.27 | 8.1 | 4.88 | 1.89 | 65 | 62 |
| Low EC Control | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 1.71 | 9.4 | 6.67 | 1.83 | 27 | 37 |
| Hatchery Water Control | 0.03 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 5.72 | 12.2 | 9.21 | 2.19 | 98 | 58 |

4.1.3 Reference Toxicant Tests

All reference toxicity tests with copper met test acceptability criteria. Effect concentrations for copper (Table 6, Appendix Tables A1-A3) were within the same range as in previous years, when 96-h LC50s were 85-150 µg/L Cu²⁺ (Werner et al. 2009 b). Like in 2009, larvae obtained earlier in the year were less sensitive than those obtained later.

Table 6. Copper effect concentrations derived from reference toxicant tests with larval delta smelt used in testing during 2010.

| RT Test | Fish Age (days old) | Control Survival (%) | NOEC (ppb) | LOEC (ppb) | LC50 (ppb) |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| April 21, 2010 | 47 | 100 | 106 | 213 | 141.3 (NA) |
| May 19, 2010 | 46 | 87 | 53 | 106 | 99.9 (28.6-190.4)* |
| June 16, 2010 | 47 | 100 | 53 | 106 | 83.2 (61.5-158.6)* |

*95% Confidence Interval

4.2 Tests with Rainbow Trout

4.2.1 SRWTP Effluent Exposures

All rainbow trout tests met test acceptability criteria, with survival in performance controls being 100 %. No significant reduction in 7-d survival was detected (Tables 7 a-c, 8 a-c).

Table 7 a. Percent survival of 15-30-d old rainbow trout exposed for 7 d to SRWTP effluent diluted with Sacramento River water. The experiment was initiated April 22, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.; DIEPAMH = laboratory control water.

| Treatment | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-d Survival (%) | |
|--|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (SRGB) | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Low EC Control | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| DIEPAMH | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Table 7 b. Percent survival of 15-30-d old rainbow trout exposed for 7 d to SRWTP effluent diluted with Sacramento River water. The experiment was initiated May 20, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.; DIEPAMH = laboratory control water.

| Treatment | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-d Survival (%) | |
|--|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (SRGB) | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 97.5 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 2.5 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Low EC Control | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| DIEPAMH | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Table 7 c. Percent survival of 15-30-d old rainbow trout exposed for 7 d to SRWTP effluent diluted with Sacramento River water. The experiment was initiated June 17, 2010. SRWTP = Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant; SRGB = Sacramento River at Garcia Bend; SE=standard error of the mean.; DIEPAMH = laboratory control water.

| Treatment | 96-h Survival (%) | | 7-d Survival (%) | |
|--|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Sacramento River at Garcia Bend (SRGB) | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Low EC Control | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| DIEPAMH | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Table 8 a. Water quality data for the 7-day effluent test with rainbow trout initiated April 22, 2010.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (µS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-----|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 11.7 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 0.5 | 113 | 159 | 128 | 17 | 6.2 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 1.7 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.6 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 132 | 179 | 150 | 18 | 6.1 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 1.7 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.8 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 152 | 208 | 172 | 21 | 6.0 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 1.9 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.7 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 0.5 | 191 | 250 | 214 | 24 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 8.8 | 1.8 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.6 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 0.5 | 236 | 306 | 263 | 28 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 1.9 |
| Low EC Control | 11.7 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 0.5 | 110 | 173 | 130 | 25 | 6.3 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 1.6 |
| DIEPAMH | 12.1 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 0.4 | 196 | 303 | 239 | 33 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 1.5 |

| Treatment | pH | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Mean Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Mean Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 7.31 | 8.31 | 7.71 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 1.03 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 65 | 65 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.24 | 8.13 | 7.67 | 0.27 | 0.81 | 2.36 | 1.43 | 0.43 | 0.009 | 0.024 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 67 | 66 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.26 | 8.23 | 7.65 | 0.27 | 1.62 | 3.48 | 2.46 | 0.52 | 0.011 | 0.057 | 0.027 | 0.014 | 71 | 73 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.29 | 8.20 | 7.62 | 0.23 | 3.68 | 6.00 | 4.67 | 0.89 | 0.025 | 0.128 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 75 | 82 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.34 | 7.95 | 7.56 | 0.16 | 5.80 | 8.60 | 7.05 | 1.17 | 0.041 | 0.109 | 0.057 | 0.017 | 82 | 95 |
| Low EC Control | 7.07 | 8.60 | 7.66 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 38 | 29 |
| DIEPAMH | 7.27 | 8.24 | 7.76 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 1.07 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 209 | 92 |

Table 8 b. Water quality data for the 7-day effluent test with rainbow trout initiated May 20, 2010.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (µS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-----|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 12.3 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 0.5 | 98 | 102 | 99 | 2 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 1.3 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 12.3 | 13.3 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 122 | 126 | 124 | 1 | 7.1 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 1.3 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 12.3 | 13.3 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 146 | 153 | 150 | 3 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 1.4 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 12.2 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 194 | 205 | 199 | 4 | 7.1 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 1.4 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 12.2 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 0.4 | 246 | 261 | 253 | 6 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 1.4 |
| Low EC Control | 12.2 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 0.4 | 97 | 112 | 104 | 5 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 1.1 |
| DIEPAMH | 12.2 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 0.4 | 217 | 234 | 229 | 6 | 7.6 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 0.8 |

| Treatment | pH | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Mean Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Mean Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 7.27 | 8.42 | 8.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 53 | 54 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.36 | 8.27 | 7.91 | 0.23 | 1.26 | 1.99 | 1.58 | 0.29 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.033 | 0.014 | 55 | 58 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.32 | 8.11 | 7.83 | 0.22 | 2.50 | 3.42 | 2.91 | 0.31 | 0.012 | 0.069 | 0.054 | 0.020 | 61 | 63 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.54 | 8.12 | 7.79 | 0.21 | 5.04 | 6.08 | 5.50 | 0.31 | 0.040 | 0.140 | 0.102 | 0.035 | 65 | 72 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.47 | 8.09 | 7.71 | 0.22 | 7.64 | 9.28 | 8.35 | 0.43 | 0.068 | 0.198 | 0.132 | 0.050 | 71 | 79 |
| Low EC Control | 7.36 | 8.69 | 8.07 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 39 | 29 |
| DIEPAMH | 7.11 | 8.52 | 7.87 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.74 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 90 | 63 |

Table 8 c. Water quality data for the 7-day effluent test with rainbow trout initiated June 17, 2010.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (µS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-----|------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 11.9 | 13.8 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 85 | 112 | 100 | 10 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 1.1 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.8 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 0.5 | 110 | 143 | 122 | 11 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 1.1 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.9 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 133 | 163 | 145 | 11 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 1.1 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.8 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 181 | 206 | 190 | 9 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 1.2 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 11.8 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 176 | 246 | 229 | 24 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 1.2 |
| Low EC Control | 11.8 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 87 | 120 | 104 | 12 | 7.6 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 1.0 |
| DIEPAMH | 12.0 | 14.1 | 13.1 | 0.6 | 228 | 256 | 238 | 9 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 1.0 |

| Treatment | pH | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | Mean Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Mean Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | | |
| Sac R Garcia Bend | 7.24 | 7.95 | 7.61 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 47 | 48 |
| 4.5% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.21 | 7.97 | 7.59 | 0.27 | 0.73 | 2.72 | 1.38 | 0.49 | 0.005 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 49 | 48 |
| 9% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.17 | 7.96 | 7.55 | 0.25 | 1.42 | 4.64 | 2.50 | 0.77 | 0.007 | 0.042 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 51 | 56 |
| 18% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.23 | 7.86 | 7.55 | 0.20 | 2.32 | 6.76 | 4.46 | 1.19 | 0.015 | 0.061 | 0.035 | 0.014 | 56 | 63 |
| 28% SRWTP diluted with SRGB | 7.24 | 7.82 | 7.54 | 0.17 | 3.76 | 9.12 | 6.75 | 1.59 | 0.024 | 0.088 | 0.053 | 0.023 | 65 | 64 |
| Low EC Control | 6.97 | 8.05 | 7.40 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 34 | 25 |
| DIEPAMH | 7.21 | 8.12 | 7.68 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 85 | 61 |

5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

All toxicity testing performed at UCD-ATL was supervised by the Project and Laboratory Managers to ensure data quality. The UCD-ATL Quality Assurance Officer has reviewed all work performed to date to assess its quality and credibility. The following is a summary of the QA/QC work completed during the 2010 project period.

5.1 Reference Toxicant Tests with Delta Smelt

Positive control reference toxicant (RT) tests were conducted with delta smelt four times during the study period, using copper chloride (CuCl_2) as the toxicant, in order to track changes in organism sensitivity over time. There are currently no EPA-mandated requirements for reference toxicant testing with delta smelt; therefore test acceptability criteria were based upon historic survival in controls and were set at what was perceived to be a reasonably attainable level. Test acceptability criteria require 60% or greater control survival. These reference toxicant tests were not plotted on a control chart.

For this project, 96 h reference toxicant tests were conducted using the same batch of delta smelt used to perform the ammonia/um exposure experiments. Tests with copper chloride were initiated 24 h prior to the initiation of ammonia exposures due to the shorter period of time required to acclimate the fish from rearing water conductivity ($\sim 1500 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) to RT test conductivity ($900 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$). Due to the sensitive nature of the delta smelt, fish are not held in the laboratory longer than necessary to minimize stress. All RT tests met test acceptability criteria, and effect concentrations fell within the same range as in 2009 (Werner et al. 2009 b). Results suggest that the organisms' response was consistent and data are reliable.

5.2 Reference Toxicant Tests with Rainbow Trout

Positive RT tests were conducted concurrently with each effluent test, using sodium chloride (NaCl) as the toxicant, in order to ascertain whether organism response fell within the acceptable range as dictated by US EPA. For this project's study period, rainbow trout performed normally within each reference toxicant test. These data suggest that the organisms' response fell within the acceptable range of plus or minus two standard deviations around a running mean and are responding typically within that range.

5.3 Receiving Temperature

Water collected from the Sacramento River at Garcia Bend arrived at UCD-ATL with temperatures exceeding the USEPA criterion of $0-6^\circ\text{C}$. Temperatures reflected environmental temperatures in the river, as the time between water collection and arrival at the laboratory was too short to cool the water to 6°C . Upon arrival, water samples were stored in the dark at $0-4^\circ\text{C}$. It is unlikely that elevated receiving temperatures had a negative impact on sample integrity.

SRWTP effluent samples were received at UCD-ATL with temperatures exceeding the USEPA criterion of $0-6^\circ\text{C}$ on April 22, 2010, May 21-22, 2010, and June 17, 2010.

Receiving temperatures ranged from 6.6 to 9.6 °C. Subsequently, additional ice was added to transport coolers, and subsequently receiving temperatures were within specified limits. Elevated sample receiving temperatures likely did not affect test results. While warm temperatures increase the chances of sample toxicant degradation, temperatures were relatively close to the US EPA criterion of 0-6 °C, and samples were placed in cold storage in the dark immediately upon receipt.

5.4 Test Temperature

During the test initiated on May 20, 2010, the temperature-controlled water bath which held the delta smelt test malfunctioned on the evening of May 21, 2010, causing bath temperatures to drop to approximately 9 °C by the morning of May 22, 2010. Water temperatures in test chambers dropped to approximately 9-10 °C overnight, until this was remedied the following morning. This drop in test chamber temperature may have had minimal adverse effects on the fish utilized in the test; however treatment survival ranged from 70-91% and met all test acceptability criteria. Therefore the data from this test is considered reliable.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

Results from this project provide information on the acute toxicity of SRWTP effluent to larval delta smelt (47-48 d old) and rainbow trout (15-30 d old) during April-June 2010. Below we discuss our results in the context of the data and questions on which the experimental design for these tests was based, address uncertainties, and provide recommendations for future studies.

Question 1: What is the range of no (NOEC) and low (LOEC) effect ranges of SRWTP effluent mixed into Sacramento River water from Garcia Bend for delta smelt?

We did not observe the same level of toxicity as seen in our 2009 study. There was no significant reduction in larval delta smelt survival at effluent concentrations of ≤ 28 %. This concentration is above the concentration released by SWRTP to the Sacramento River.

The average test concentrations of ammonia/um in the 28 % effluent treatments were 6.12 - 7.82 mg/L, which was close to the 7-d LC50 for pure ammonia/um determined in 2009 (7.45 mg/L) and above the 7-d LC50 of ammonia/um in effluent (5.40 mg/L). Similarly, the highest un-ionized ammonia concentrations tested in this study (0.076 - 0.144 mg/L) were within the range of the 7-d LC50 determined (for effluent) in 2009, which was 0.090 mg/L. Acute 96-h LC50 values for larval delta smelt determined in previous years were 11.63 and 11.81 mg/L total ammonia/um, and 0.147 and 0.164 mg/L un-ionized ammonia at pH 7.9, T=16°C, and EC=900 μ S/cm.

Effect concentrations may vary in response to changes in effluent quality or unknown variations in delta smelt sensitivity, but the underlying reasons for the different results between tests conducted in 2009 and 2010 are not known. The fish used in toxicity tests were similar in age and size, and reference toxicant tests show that their sensitivity to copper was similar in both years, with 96-h LC50s of 80-150 $\mu\text{g/L Cu}^{2+}$ in 2009 (Werner et al. 2009 b) and 83-141 $\mu\text{g/L Cu}^{2+}$ in 2010. The size of fish used for effluent testing in 2010 was similar or smaller than those used in 2009. The average fork length of 47-48 day old delta smelt used in 2010 was 17.5 ± 1.8 mm (mean \pm SD; n=10; April 2010), 13.6 ± 0.23 mm (May 2010), and 12.7 ± 1.4 mm (June 2010), with corresponding wet weights of 16 ± 7 , 7 ± 3 , and 5 ± 3 mg. The fork length of fish used in testing in 2009 was 17.8 ± 1.4 mm (mean \pm SD; n=10; 2009; Joan Lindberg, UC Davis Fish Culture and Conservation Laboratory, Byron, CA, personal communication), similar to the fish used for testing in May 2010, however, weight information for fish used in 2009 is not available.

Based on test results obtained in this study, we conclude that average as well as maximum permissible SRWTP effluent concentrations (effluent: Sac River water 14:1) in the Sacramento River below SRWTP are not likely to affect 7-d survival of 47-d old delta smelt larvae.

Question 2: Can larval rainbow trout be used as a surrogate species, if needed, for future toxicity identification evaluations in place of delta smelt?

No acute toxicity was seen in following effluent exposures with larval rainbow trout or delta smelt. We are therefore unable to address this question.

Based on 96-h LC50 data, delta smelt larvae at the age of 47-51 DPH are about as sensitive to ammonia/um as rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with a species mean acute value of 11.23 mg/L ammonia/um at pH 8.0 (US EPA, 1999).

7. Uncertainties and Recommendations for Future Studies

Significant uncertainties remain with respect to the potential for deleterious effects of ammonia/um and SRWTP effluent in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta:

(1) Acute and chronic effects on newly hatched larvae: Tests conducted in 2009 demonstrate that sensitivity of delta smelt to ammonia/um declines with increasing size and age, thus very early stages of delta smelt may be more vulnerable to this and potentially other effluent-associated contaminants. Such testing would require changes in hatchery rearing conditions to acclimate delta smelt to low conductivity water as found in the Lower Sacramento River/Cache Slough complex.

(2) Effects of multiple stressors. Many environmental factors can modify the toxicity of a single contaminant such as ammonia/um. Pre-exposure or simultaneous exposure to elevated temperature, disease, other contaminants or other stressful environmental conditions may considerably alter the physiological condition and therefore susceptibility of the organism, as well as modify the toxicity of ammonia. For example, parasitism increased ammonia susceptibility of amphipods (Prenter et al., 2004) five-fold.

(3) Sublethal toxic effects. - Sublethal toxic effects can occur at exposure levels far below the concentrations that cause lethality, and can have severe consequences for the fitness, reproductive success and survival of aquatic organisms, especially where organisms are exposed to many different stressors. Exposure of fish to sublethal concentrations of ammonia/um can cause loss of equilibrium, hyperexcitability, increased respiratory activity and oxygen uptake, and increased heart rate. Increased ammonia/um levels in the water have been shown to result in impairment of swimming performance, reduced feeding and slower growth (Eddy, 2005 and references therein). For example, in rainbow trout and coho salmon there was a decrease in critical swimming velocity with increasing water ammonia levels, and the LC50 in resting fish was 6.5-fold higher than that in swimming fish. Exposure to ammonia concentrations as low as 0.002 mg/L for six weeks caused hyperplasia of gill lining in salmon fingerlings (Eddy, 2005). Whether such effects are occurring in the delta is unknown and beyond the scope of the study presented here.

Recommendations for Future Research

- Information should be generated on the susceptibility of early larval stages of delta smelt (<47 DPH) to ammonia/um and SRWTP effluent. Embryos should be reared under water quality conditions typical for the Lower Sacramento River, and exposed to a range of ammonia/um and effluent concentrations.
- Acute-to-chronic ratios should be established for delta smelt using sublethal endpoints such as swimming ability, histopathologic lesions and cellular responses.
- More information is needed on the toxicity of ammonia/um to fish when other stressors are present, in particular under conditions of food deprivation, elevated temperature, and in mixture with other contaminants, such as copper and current-use pesticides.
- Every attempt should be made to use ecologically significant, sublethal toxicity endpoints, such as growth, reproductive success, and swimming ability to evaluate the effects of ammonia/um on Delta fish species.
- Biomarkers can provide important information on biologically active toxicants present in the Delta and affecting species of concern, especially, if they can be linked to individual survival, growth or reproduction. Well characterized biomarkers should be integrated into monitoring efforts, especially where other sublethal endpoints (growth, behavior) are difficult to obtain.
- Where possible, *in situ* methods should be used to monitor ambient toxicity.

8. References

- Eddy F.B., 2005. Ammonia in estuaries and effects on fish. *Journal of Fish Biology* 67: 1495–1513.
- Feyrer F., Nobriga M.L., Sommer T.R., 2007. Multidecadal trends for three declining fish species: habitat patterns and mechanisms in the San Francisco Estuary, California, USA. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 64, 723-734.
- Prenter J., MacNeil C., Dick J.T.A., Riddell G. E., Dunn A. M. 2004. Lethal and sublethal toxicity of ammonia to native, invasive, and parasitised freshwater amphipods. *Water Research* 38 (2004) 2847–2850.
- Stillway, M. 2008a. Quality Assurance Project Plan. Pelagic Organism Decline (POD). Effects of Toxic Contaminants on Invertebrates and Fish in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. April 2008 – March 2010. Final Version 1.0. Prepared for the Department of Water Resources; 44 pages plus appendix.
- Stillway, M. 2008b. Sampling and Analysis Plan, Pelagic Organism Decline (POD). Effects of Toxic Contaminants on Invertebrates and Fish in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. January 2008 – December 2009. Final Version 1.0. Prepared for the Department of Water Resources. 23 pages.
- USEPA. 1985. Ambient water quality criteria for ammonia. EPA-440/5-85/001. Office of Water Regulations and Standards. Criteria and Standards Division. Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 1991. Technical support document for water quality-based toxics control. EPA/505/2-90-001. US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. Washington, D.C.
- USEPA. 1999. Update of ambient water quality criteria for ammonia. EPA-822-R-99-014, US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
- USEPA. 2002. Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms. Fifth Edition. October. EPA-821-R-02-012, US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
- Werner I., Deanovic L.A., Markiewicz D., Stillway M., Offer N., Connon R.E., Brander S., 2008. Pelagic Organism Decline (POD): Acute and Chronic Invertebrate and Fish Toxicity Testing in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta 2006-2007. Final Report submitted to the Interagency Ecological Program, Sacramento, California.
- Werner I., Deanovic L.A., Stillway M., Markiewicz D. 2009 a. Acute Toxicity of Ammonia/um and Wastewater Treatment Effluent-Associated Contaminants on Delta Smelt. Final Report to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Rancho Cordova, CA.
- Werner I., Deanovic L.A., Stillway M., Markiewicz D. 2009 b. Acute Toxicity of Ammonia/um and Wastewater Treatment Effluent-Associated Contaminants on Delta

Smelt - 2009. Final Report to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Rancho Cordova, CA.

Werner I., Markiewicz D., Deanovic L.A., Cannon R.E., Beggel S., Teh S.J., Stillway M., Reece C. 2010. Pelagic Organism Decline (POD): Acute and Chronic Invertebrate and Fish Toxicity Testing in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta 2008-2010. Final Report submitted to the California Department of Water Resources, West Sacramento, CA.

Appendix

Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species

Reference Toxicant Summary Tables
and Water Quality Data

A. Delta Smelt Reference Toxicant Tests with Copper

Table A1 a. Results of a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 4/21/10 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | 24-hour Survival (%) | | 48-hour Survival (%) | | 72-hour Survival (%) | | 96-hour Survival (%) | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 93 | 7 | 80 | 12 | 80 | 12 | 67 | 24 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 87 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 80 | 12 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 60 | 23 | 60 | 23 | 60 | 23 | 60 | 23 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 57 | 12 | 42 | 10 | 35 | 13 | 35 | 13 |

Table A1 b. Water chemistry during a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 4/21/10 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-----|------|----|-----------|-----|------|-----|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 0.4 | 732 | 791 | 754 | 32 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 0.3 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 0.5 | 733 | 774 | 751 | 21 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 0.3 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 736 | 807 | 763 | 38 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 0.3 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 15.9 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 740 | 795 | 761 | 30 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 0.2 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 15.9 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 738 | 793 | 759 | 30 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 0.3 |

| Treatment | pH | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 7.89 | 8.31 | 8.19 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 7.90 | 8.26 | 8.17 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 7.88 | 8.29 | 8.16 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 7.90 | 8.31 | 8.17 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 7.92 | 8.27 | 8.15 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.005 |

Table A2 a. Results of a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 5/19/10 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | 24-hour Survival (%) | | 48-hour Survival (%) | | 72-hour Survival (%) | | 96-hour Survival (%) | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 87 | 7 | 87 | 7 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 93 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 80 | 12 | 73 | 18 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 87 | 13 | 80 | 12 | 67 | 24 | 40 | 20 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 33 | 7 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 7 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 30 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Table A2 b. Water chemistry during a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 5/19/10 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | | DO (mg/L) | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|-----|------|----|-----------|-----|------|-----|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 15.2 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 0.6 | 757 | 774 | 763 | 8 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 0.5 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 15.3 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 757 | 761 | 759 | 2 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 0.5 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 15.5 | 16.2 | 15.9 | 0.3 | 747 | 767 | 755 | 9 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 0.3 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 15.6 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 0.2 | 747 | 779 | 761 | 13 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 0.3 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 15.7 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 755 | 775 | 766 | 10 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 0.2 |

| Treatment | pH | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 8.02 | 8.13 | 8.08 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 7.98 | 8.10 | 8.06 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 7.94 | 8.09 | 8.05 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 7.94 | 8.17 | 8.06 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 7.96 | 8.14 | 8.08 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 |

Table A3 a. Results of a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 6/16/2010 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | 24-hour Survival (%) | | 48-hour Survival (%) | | 72-hour Survival (%) | | 96-hour Survival (%) | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|----------------------|----|
| | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 93 | 7 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 87 | 7 | 33 | 13 | 33 | 13 | 27 | 18 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 33 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 40 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table X. Water chemistry during a delta smelt 96-hour toxicity test initiated on 6/16/10 examining the toxicity of copper.

| Treatment | Initial EC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | | | | pH | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| | | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 743 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 7.83 | 7.90 | 7.86 | 0.03 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 717 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 7.78 | 7.92 | 7.85 | 0.06 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 737 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 0.3 | 7.82 | 7.90 | 7.85 | 0.04 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 869 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 0.2 | 7.79 | 7.82 | 7.81 | 0.02 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 741 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 0.2 | 7.76 | 7.91 | 7.82 | 0.08 |

| Treatment | DO (mg/L) | | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----|------|-----|-------------------------|------|------|------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) | 8.7 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Copper | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 0.120 | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Copper | 8.9 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.001 | 0.150 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Copper | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.080 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| FHW + 300 ppb Copper | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.001 | 0.100 | 0.001 | 0.001 |

B. Water Quality Data

Table A1. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: Sacramento River at Garcia Bend.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 157.4 | 187.1 | 16.6 | 9.6 | 7.67 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 8.44 | 36 | 66 |
| 4 | Day 1 9AM Final | 293.4 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.97 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 8.24 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 294.5 | | 17.1 | 9.4 | 8.00 | 0.09 | 0.003 | 4.53 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 116.8 | 140.0 | 16.8 | 9.6 | 7.80 | 0.035 | 0.001 | 7.93 | 56 | 62 |
| 28 | Day 2 9AM Final | 289.1 | | 17.0 | 9.2 | 7.88 | 0.15 | 0.003 | 4.2 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 218.1 | | 16.8 | 9.6 | 8.01 | 0.09 | 0.003 | 3.26 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 107.4 | 135.7 | 17.5 | 9.5 | 7.79 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 7.76 | 52 | 57 |
| 52 | Day 3 9AM Final | 220.6 | | 17.0 | 9.4 | 7.98 | 0.16 | 0.004 | 3.02 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 185.7 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 8.02 | 0.14 | 0.004 | 3.03 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 123.6 | 145.3 | 17.2 | 9.8 | 7.79 | 0.05 | 0.001 | 11.6 | 52 | 60 |
| 76 | Day 4 9AM Final | 180.8 | | 16.6 | 9.9 | 7.99 | 0.10 | 0.003 | 2.45 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 170.2 | | 17.2 | 9.7 | 7.98 | 0.16 | 0.005 | 3.02 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 110.7 | 131.4 | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.80 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 11.1 | 52 | 56 |
| 100 | Day 5 9AM Final | 166.3 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.94 | 0.16 | 0.004 | 2.45 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 146.1 | | 16.8 | 9.6 | 7.87 | 0.15 | 0.003 | 3.68 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 123.1 | 144.3 | 16.5 | 9.7 | 7.87 | 0.025 | 0.001 | 11.3 | 56 | 58 |
| 124 | Day 6 9AM Final | 143.8 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 7.94 | 0.08 | 0.002 | 3.36 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 148.6 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.87 | 0.15 | 0.003 | 3.91 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 125.9 | 147.1 | 17.2 | 9.3 | 7.81 | 0.06 | 0.001 | 26.3 | 56 | 62 |
| 148 | Day 7 9AM Final | 146.2 | | 17.2 | 9.1 | 7.85 | 0.15 | 0.003 | 3.1 | | |
| 165 | Day 7 1PM Final | 151.4 | | 17.0 | 9.4 | 7.78 | 0.11 | 0.002 | 7.14 | | |

Table A2. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: Low Conductivity (EC) Control.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 164.5 | 196.3 | 16.3 | 8.3 | 8.16 | 0.07 | 0.003 | 3.22 | 36 | 28 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 278.5 | | 16.9 | 9.3 | 7.98 | 0.05 | 0.001 | 8.01 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 279.6 | | 17.0 | 9.5 | 7.72 | 0.13 | 0.002 | 7.14 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 120.0 | 142.9 | 16.8 | 8.9 | 7.02 | 0.07 | 0.000 | 5.05 | 24 | 12 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 282.3 | | 17.1 | 9.0 | 7.65 | 0.14 | 0.002 | 6.64 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 214.2 | | 16.7 | 9.5 | 7.52 | 0.10 | 0.001 | 5.43 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 120.7 | 141.4 | 17.3 | 10.7 | 8.16 | 0.01 | 0.000 | 9.16 | 36 | 26 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 217.3 | | 17.0 | 9.2 | 7.47 | 0.19 | 0.002 | 4.78 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 188.5 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.42 | 0.21 | 0.002 | 5.82 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 124.7 | 148.1 | 16.7 | 10.2 | 8.19 | 0.06 | 0.003 | 6.54 | 40 | 32 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 187.9 | | 16.6 | 9.5 | 7.51 | 0.17 | 0.002 | 5.22 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 173.1 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.52 | 0.24 | 0.002 | 4.68 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 116.2 | 140.9 | 16.0 | 9.1 | 8.19 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 7.09 | 36 | 30 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 174.8 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.56 | 0.30 | 0.003 | 4.11 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 160.5 | | 16.5 | 9.0 | 7.68 | 0.30 | 0.004 | 6.11 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 133.3 | 158.5 | 16.7 | 9.0 | 8.10 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 8.01 | 48 | 32 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 158.4 | | 16.7 | 9.0 | 7.55 | 0.33 | 0.003 | 5.85 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 167.4 | | 16.8 | 9.0 | 7.53 | 0.38 | 0.004 | 5.89 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 132.2 | 158.9 | 16.2 | 9.0 | 8.21 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 9.49 | 44 | 24 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 157.3 | | 17.0 | 8.7 | 7.52 | 0.35 | 0.003 | 6.09 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 166.2 | | 16.8 | 9.0 | 7.42 | 0.34 | 0.003 | 6.68 | | |

Table A3. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: Hatchery Water Control.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 2161 | 2611 | 16.1 | 9.5 | 8.15 | 0.11 | 0.004 | 4.41 | 324 | 84 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 2205 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 8.07 | 0.08 | 0.002 | 10.1 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 2170 | | 16.7 | 9.0 | 7.82 | 0.14 | 0.003 | 7.51 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 2087 | 2564 | 16.2 | 9.0 | 7.93 | 0.11 | 0.002 | 7.54 | 324 | 84 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 2185 | | 17.4 | 9.0 | 7.90 | 0.20 | 0.004 | 7.86 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 2161 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.81 | 0.14 | 0.002 | 6.54 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 2195 | 2559 | 17.5 | 8.6 | 7.96 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 13.53 | 160 | 78 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 2159 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.85 | 0.21 | 0.004 | 6.41 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 2171 | | 17.0 | 9.4 | 7.82 | 0.21 | 0.004 | 6.33 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 2150 | 2548 | 16.8 | 9.2 | 8.01 | 0.06 | 0.002 | 5.78 | 160 | 78 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 2117 | | 16.6 | 9.5 | 7.92 | 0.20 | 0.004 | 5.24 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 2154 | | 16.8 | 9.2 | 7.77 | 0.24 | 0.004 | 4.33 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 2148 | 2559 | 16.4 | 9.0 | 8.02 | 0.06 | 0.002 | 7.72 | 160 | 78 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 2151 | | 16.7 | 9.8 | 7.78 | 0.30 | 0.005 | 3.65 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 2232 | | 16.7 | 9.1 | 7.84 | 0.34 | 0.006 | 5.64 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 2149 | 2557 | 16.8 | 8.8 | 8.03 | 0.06 | 0.002 | 5.44 | 320 | 84 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 2145 | | 16.7 | 9.1 | 7.81 | 0.38 | 0.006 | 5.17 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 2221 | | 16.7 | 9.2 | 7.77 | 0.48 | 0.007 | 5.25 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 2153 | 2602 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 8.06 | 0.04 | 0.001 | 5.32 | 332 | 88 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 2175 | | 16.9 | 8.8 | 7.87 | 0.40 | 0.008 | 5.07 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 2176 | | 17.1 | 9.0 | 7.67 | 0.37 | 0.005 | 4.56 | | |

Table A4. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: Hatchery Water Control without antibiotics.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 2158 | 2591 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 8.16 | 0.11 | 0.004 | 4.35 | 324 | 84 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 2188 | | 16.8 | 9.3 | 8.06 | 0.08 | 0.002 | 9.04 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 2092 | | 16.8 | 9.3 | 7.81 | 0.12 | 0.002 | 6.61 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 2018 | 2470 | 16.1 | 9.7 | 7.96 | 0.11 | 0.002 | 6.98 | 324 | 84 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 2166 | | 17.3 | 8.4 | 7.79 | 0.15 | 0.002 | 6.38 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 2154 | | 16.8 | 9.0 | 7.77 | 0.14 | 0.002 | 5.57 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 2184 | 2574 | 17.5 | 8.5 | 7.97 | 0.02 | 0.000 | 8.19 | 160 | 78 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 2124 | | 17.0 | 8.8 | 7.79 | 0.21 | 0.003 | 5.23 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 2182 | | 17.1 | 8.9 | 7.75 | 0.19 | 0.003 | 5.80 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 2139 | 2532 | 16.9 | 9.1 | 8.03 | 0.07 | 0.002 | 7.14 | 160 | 78 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 2154 | | 16.7 | 9.0 | 7.75 | 0.27 | 0.004 | 4.73 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 2148 | | 16.7 | 8.6 | 7.58 | 0.37 | 0.004 | 4.84 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 2098 | 2499 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 8.01 | 0.06 | 0.001 | 7.20 | 160 | 78 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 2200 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.63 | 0.42 | 0.005 | 3.95 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 2211 | | 16.6 | 8.5 | 7.74 | 0.37 | 0.005 | 5.38 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 2162 | 2579 | 16.5 | 8.7 | 8.08 | 0.06 | 0.002 | 6.43 | 320 | 84 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 2147 | | 16.7 | 8.6 | 7.68 | 0.40 | 0.005 | 4.77 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 2200 | | 16.7 | 8.4 | 7.65 | 0.47 | 0.005 | 4.90 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 2121 | 2557 | 16.0 | 8.9 | 8.10 | 0.04 | 0.001 | 13.1 | 332 | 88 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 2155 | | 17.1 | 8.2 | 7.66 | 0.41 | 0.005 | 4.35 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 2171 | | 16.9 | 8.4 | 7.45 | 0.40 | 0.003 | 4.96 | | |

Table A5. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 2.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from Ammonia-Chloride.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 203.3 | 242.3 | 16.5 | 9.8 | 7.86 | 1.87 | 0.038 | 6.99 | 56 | 66 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 332.1 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.88 | 1.41 | 0.030 | 8.03 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 339.2 | | 17.0 | 9.4 | 7.93 | 1.31 | 0.032 | 4.65 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 165.9 | 193.3 | 17.2 | 9.5 | 7.75 | 2.04 | 0.034 | 6.57 | 56 | 58 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 336.1 | | 17.3 | 9.0 | 7.82 | 1.50 | 0.029 | 4.48 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 275.3 | | 16.7 | 9.6 | 7.89 | 1.78 | 0.039 | 3.3 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 153.6 | 181 | 17.0 | 9.8 | 7.65 | 2.10 | 0.028 | 7.4 | 52 | 60 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 267.2 | | 17.1 | 9.3 | 7.92 | 1.96 | 0.047 | 3.16 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 239.1 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.92 | 1.92 | 0.046 | 2.97 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 172.9 | 204.2 | 17.2 | 9.8 | 7.91 | 2.15 | 0.052 | 8.86 | 56 | 62 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 236.9 | | 16.8 | 9.6 | 7.88 | 1.98 | 0.043 | 2.39 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 218.3 | | 17.1 | 9.3 | 7.83 | 2.03 | 0.040 | 3.1 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 152.3 | 180.2 | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.81 | 2.08 | 0.039 | 9.06 | 56 | 58 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 223.7 | | 17.0 | 9.8 | 7.80 | 1.92 | 0.035 | 2.13 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 196.3 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.90 | 2.03 | 0.047 | 3.68 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 163.3 | 194.6 | 16.5 | 9.7 | 7.86 | 2.12 | 0.043 | 9.85 | 60 | 60 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 191.3 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.86 | 2.01 | 0.043 | 3.61 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 196.6 | | 16.9 | 9.3 | 7.76 | 1.99 | 0.033 | 3.43 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 174.5 | 204.5 | 17.3 | 9.4 | 7.83 | 1.96 | 0.040 | 23.8 | 60 | 58 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 194.9 | | 17.5 | 8.9 | 7.71 | 2.02 | 0.032 | 3.2 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 199.7 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.68 | 1.65 | 0.023 | 6.95 | | |

Table A6. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 4.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from Ammonia-Chloride.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 257.9 | 305.7 | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.83 | 4.02 | 0.077 | 7.56 | 60 | 60 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 372.2 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.87 | 3.04 | 0.064 | 7.89 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 372.1 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.94 | 2.86 | 0.070 | 4.55 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 215.5 | 254.8 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.77 | 4.00 | 0.068 | 6.14 | 56 | 62 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 371.3 | | 17.2 | 9.1 | 7.92 | 4.32 | 0.104 | 4.22 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 309.3 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.84 | 3.44 | 0.068 | 3.37 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 198.4 | 233.5 | 17.1 | 9.8 | 7.65 | 3.9 | 0.052 | 7.73 | 56 | 58 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 306.8 | | 17.1 | 9.4 | 7.91 | 3.8 | 0.089 | 3.03 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 273.2 | | 16.7 | 9.9 | 7.89 | 3.66 | 0.080 | 2.97 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 216.8 | 257.6 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 7.56 | 4.22 | 0.044 | 9.73 | 64 | 58 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 271.7 | | 16.7 | 9.8 | 7.91 | 3.94 | 0.090 | 2.54 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 262.0 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.78 | 3.98 | 0.069 | 2.87 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 198.9 | 235.2 | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.62 | 4.06 | 0.049 | 9.23 | 60 | 56 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 266.8 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.85 | 3.92 | 0.080 | 2.28 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 239.3 | | 16.8 | 9.6 | 7.92 | 3.86 | 0.092 | 3.66 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 213.5 | 252.3 | 16.7 | 9.8 | 7.66 | 4.30 | 0.056 | 9.03 | 60 | 58 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 231.7 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 7.91 | 4.00 | 0.093 | 3.46 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 240.4 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.77 | 3.98 | 0.068 | 3.91 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 233.8 | 272.6 | 17.5 | 9.4 | 7.57 | 4.00 | 0.045 | 25.4 | 68 | 70 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 240.9 | | 17.3 | 9.1 | 7.76 | 4.02 | 0.069 | 3.45 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 250.8 | | 17.0 | 9.5 | 7.75 | 3.32 | 0.054 | 6.84 | | |

Table A7. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 6.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from Ammonia-Chloride.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 303.7 | 359.9 | 16.7 | 9.8 | 7.76 | 6.32 | 0.103 | 7.69 | 68 | 60 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 416.2 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.80 | 4.68 | 0.084 | 8.06 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 415.4 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.85 | 4.35 | 0.087 | 4.43 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 265.4 | 316.3 | 17.5 | 9.6 | 7.68 | 5.84 | 0.084 | 5.95 | 64 | 60 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 414.6 | | 17.1 | 9.2 | 7.86 | 4.72 | 0.085 | 4.21 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 349.5 | | 17.0 | 9.7 | 7.83 | 4.68 | 0.091 | 3.74 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 245.4 | 288 | 17.2 | 9.8 | 7.68 | 6.32 | 0.090 | 7.49 | 60 | 58 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 348.2 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.85 | 5.12 | 0.104 | 3.14 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 362.5 | | 16.8 | 9.8 | 7.77 | 5.72 | 0.095 | 3.06 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 264.0 | 313.8 | 16.8 | 10.0 | 7.51 | 5.92 | 0.055 | 9.78 | 60 | 58 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 315.8 | | 16.7 | 9.9 | 7.89 | 5.64 | 0.123 | 2.56 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 303.4 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.76 | 6.24 | 0.103 | 3.11 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 260.1 | 307 | 16.9 | 10.0 | 7.42 | 6.04 | 0.046 | 9.67 | 64 | 56 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 312.1 | | 17.0 | 9.7 | 7.81 | 5.64 | 0.105 | 2.46 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 295.0 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 7.88 | 5.80 | 0.125 | 3.77 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 253.6 | 299.5 | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.59 | 6.12 | 0.069 | 9.16 | 68 | 56 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 286.5 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.86 | 5.80 | 0.120 | 3.46 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 293.8 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.75 | 6.32 | 0.102 | 3.86 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 279.9 | 326.7 | 17.4 | 9.4 | 7.42 | 6.40 | 0.051 | 23.6 | 60 | 60 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 290.2 | | 17.3 | 8.9 | 7.74 | 5.96 | 0.097 | 3.49 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 294.7 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.73 | 5.32 | 0.083 | 7.08 | | |

Table A8. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 8.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from Ammonia-Chloride.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 343.9 | 407.6 | 16.8 | 9.9 | 7.70 | 8.2 | 0.117 | 7.46 | 68 | 61 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 439.2 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.78 | 6.16 | 0.105 | 8.19 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 340.0 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.84 | 6.28 | 0.125 | 5.14 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 341.5 | 394.6 | 17.5 | 9.5 | 7.45 | 8.6 | 0.073 | 5.92 | 68 | 60 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 427.1 | | 17.1 | 9.1 | 7.82 | 6.68 | 0.127 | 4.22 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 399.9 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.82 | 7.36 | 0.136 | 3.47 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 292.4 | 342.3 | 17.3 | 9.8 | 7.47 | 8.28 | 0.073 | 6.66 | 64 | 58 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 399.5 | | 17.1 | 9.3 | 7.85 | 7.8 | 0.159 | 3.14 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 238.3 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.97 | 6.96 | 0.186 | 3.09 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 315.6 | 374.5 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 7.52 | 7.88 | 0.074 | 9.95 | 72 | 56 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 360.2 | | 16.8 | 9.8 | 7.87 | 7.64 | 0.160 | 2.70 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 356.2 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.79 | 8.16 | 0.143 | 3.29 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 307.5 | 364.2 | 17.0 | 10.1 | 7.29 | 8.08 | 0.046 | 9.76 | 60 | 56 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 358.8 | | 17.0 | 9.8 | 7.77 | 7.8 | 0.132 | 2.71 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 345.9 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.76 | 8.04 | 0.131 | 3.70 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 304.0 | 357.6 | 17.0 | 9.7 | 7.54 | 8.0 | 0.081 | 8.70 | 68 | 56 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 334.5 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.79 | 7.64 | 0.136 | 3.39 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 343.3 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.69 | 8.44 | 0.118 | 3.49 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 331.7 | 388.6 | 17.4 | 9.4 | 7.47 | 8.6 | 0.076 | 23.3 | 68 | 78 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 335.5 | | 17.5 | 9.0 | 7.77 | 7.96 | 0.140 | 3.32 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 344.1 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.72 | 7.4 | 0.110 | 6.63 | | |

Table A9. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 2.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from SRWTP Effluent.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 170.8 | 202.9 | 16.7 | 9.9 | 7.72 | 2.13 | 0.032 | 8.28 | 60 | 73 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 336.7 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.94 | 1.60 | 0.040 | 7.38 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 339.5 | | 17.0 | 9.3 | 7.92 | 1.59 | 0.038 | 4.37 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 166.8 | 195.0 | 17.5 | 9.9 | 7.58 | 2.12 | 0.025 | 6.31 | 64 | 72 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 333.2 | | 17.1 | 9.0 | 7.81 | 1.68 | 0.031 | 5.05 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 274.3 | | 16.5 | 9.7 | 7.84 | 1.87 | 0.036 | 3.52 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 156.5 | 183.8 | 17.2 | 9.7 | 7.64 | 2.03 | 0.027 | 7.83 | 60 | 64 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 274.7 | | 17.1 | 9.2 | 7.97 | 1.94 | 0.052 | 3.37 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 233.3 | | 17.1 | 9.5 | 7.85 | 1.95 | 0.040 | 3.00 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 173.4 | 203.2 | 17.2 | 9.9 | 7.80 | 2.14 | 0.040 | 9.66 | 64 | 68 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 231.2 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.92 | 2.04 | 0.048 | 2.67 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 215.9 | | 16.9 | 9.5 | 7.81 | 2.06 | 0.039 | 3.08 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 150.4 | 181.2 | 16.2 | 9.9 | 7.68 | 2.08 | 0.028 | 9.77 | 52 | 66 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 223.0 | | 17.0 | 9.7 | 7.96 | 1.94 | 0.051 | 2.31 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 196.2 | | 16.8 | 9.6 | 7.99 | 2.03 | 0.057 | 3.76 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 161.7 | 194.4 | 16.1 | 9.9 | 7.72 | 2.13 | 0.031 | 9.02 | 64 | 68 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 188.4 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 8.00 | 1.97 | 0.056 | 3.23 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 197.6 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.89 | 1.99 | 0.045 | 3.55 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 176.8 | 207.2 | 17.4 | 9.3 | 7.67 | 1.94 | 0.028 | 23.3 | 64 | 74 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 195.1 | | 17.3 | 9.0 | 7.85 | 1.97 | 0.042 | 3.18 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 198.8 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 7.88 | 1.86 | 0.041 | 6.54 | | |

Table A10. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 4.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from SRWTP Effluent.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 221.3 | 264.2 | 16.3 | 10.1 | 7.53 | 4.28 | 0.040 | 7.74 | 68 | 82 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 371.0 | | 16.9 | 9.8 | 7.84 | 3.50 | 0.069 | 7.17 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 370.6 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.87 | 3.34 | 0.070 | 4.53 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 204.8 | 235.5 | 17.4 | 9.8 | 7.48 | 4.20 | 0.039 | 6.52 | 64 | 86 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 383.2 | | 17.0 | 9.1 | 7.98 | 3.96 | 0.107 | 4.10 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 313.8 | | 16.6 | 9.7 | 7.95 | 3.76 | 0.093 | 3.46 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 205.4 | 241.3 | 17.3 | 9.8 | 7.50 | 4.00 | 0.038 | 7.91 | 64 | 78 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 303.1 | | 17.0 | 9.5 | 8.02 | 4.04 | 0.121 | 3.34 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 277.5 | | 16.9 | 9.9 | 7.99 | 3.78 | 0.105 | 3.43 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 224.7 | 263.6 | 17.2 | 10.0 | 7.63 | 4.14 | 0.053 | 9.24 | 68 | 78 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 274.3 | | 16.7 | 9.9 | 8.05 | 3.90 | 0.122 | 2.69 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 261.8 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.98 | 4.02 | 0.109 | 2.98 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 195.4 | 235.8 | 16.0 | 10.2 | 7.48 | 4.00 | 0.033 | 9.34 | 68 | 76 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 268.0 | | 17.0 | 9.9 | 7.99 | 3.94 | 0.110 | 2.46 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 243.2 | | 16.7 | 9.6 | 7.99 | 3.94 | 0.108 | 3.74 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 209.6 | 251.0 | 16.4 | 10.0 | 7.58 | 4.22 | 0.045 | 8.39 | 68 | 76 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 234.9 | | 16.9 | 9.5 | 8.04 | 3.82 | 0.119 | 3.22 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 243.6 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.90 | 3.82 | 0.087 | 3.56 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 230.4 | 270.7 | 17.3 | 9.4 | 7.52 | 3.86 | 0.038 | 22 | 68 | 86 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 239.4 | | 17.2 | 9.2 | 7.95 | 3.86 | 0.101 | 3.31 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 248.1 | | 16.8 | 9.5 | 7.93 | 3.96 | 0.096 | 6.24 | | |

Table A11. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 6.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from SRWTP Effluent.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 265.3 | 317.2 | 16.1 | 10.1 | 7.47 | 6.36 | 0.051 | 7.29 | 80 | 94 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 418.4 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.68 | 5.04 | 0.069 | 7.02 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 416.6 | | 17.0 | 9.6 | 7.85 | 4.86 | 0.098 | 4.56 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 269.1 | 316.5 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 7.35 | 5.96 | 0.038 | 6.13 | 84 | 94 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 426.9 | | 17.1 | 9.1 | 7.93 | 5.28 | 0.128 | 4.67 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 363 | | 16.6 | 9.6 | 7.83 | 5.64 | 0.106 | 3.89 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 250.4 | 293.8 | 17.2 | 9.8 | 7.37 | 5.96 | 0.042 | 7.77 | 72 | 86 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 363.8 | | 17.1 | 9.2 | 7.89 | 5.88 | 0.131 | 3.58 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 330.9 | | 17.0 | 9.6 | 7.85 | 5.56 | 0.113 | 3.37 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 269.6 | 317.6 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 7.52 | 6.00 | 0.059 | 8.34 | 80 | 86 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 328.1 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 7.91 | 5.72 | 0.130 | 2.95 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 313.6 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.84 | 5.80 | 0.115 | 3.42 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 256.3 | 308.6 | 16.1 | 9.9 | 7.36 | 6.40 | 0.040 | 8.06 | 72 | 86 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 309.1 | | 17.0 | 9.6 | 7.85 | 5.32 | 0.108 | 2.69 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 302.1 | | 16.7 | 9.4 | 7.90 | 6.48 | 0.145 | 4.00 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 250.4 | 297.7 | 16.6 | 9.9 | 7.46 | 6.20 | 0.051 | 7.73 | 76 | 88 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 294.5 | | 16.7 | 9.3 | 7.95 | 5.76 | 0.144 | 3.61 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 299.3 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.78 | 6.08 | 0.105 | 4.17 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 284 | 334.5 | 17.0 | 9.4 | 7.41 | 6.44 | 0.048 | 20.1 | 88 | 94 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 290.3 | | 17.1 | 8.8 | 7.75 | 6.00 | 0.098 | 3.24 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 302.3 | | 16.7 | 9.2 | 7.78 | 6.12 | 0.104 | 6.42 | | |

Table A12. Results of water quality measurements during Experiment III (June 11-18, 2009) in treatment: 8.00 mg/L Ammonia/um from SRWTP Effluent.

| Time (hrs) | Timepoint Name | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | DO (mg/L) | pH | NH ₃ | NH ₄ ⁺ | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness | Alkalinity |
|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| 0 | Day 0 Initial | 304.8 | 364.6 | 16.1 | 10.2 | 7.42 | 8.68 | 0.062 | 7.66 | 84 | 106 |
| 14 | Day 1 9AM Final | 445.9 | | 16.7 | 9.8 | 7.67 | 6.4 | 0.084 | 6.83 | | |
| 21 | Day 1 4PM Final | 451.7 | | 16.9 | 9.4 | 7.97 | 6.12 | 0.160 | 4.63 | | |
| 24 | Day 1 Initial | 334.0 | 390.9 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 7.24 | 8.36 | 0.044 | 6.44 | 92 | 104 |
| 38 | Day 2 9AM Final | 451.8 | | 17.1 | 9.1 | 7.95 | 6.88 | 0.174 | 4.60 | | |
| 45 | Day 2 4PM Final | 400.7 | | 16.9 | 9.7 | 7.91 | 7.6 | 0.174 | 4.11 | | |
| 48 | Day 2 Initial | 299.5 | 351.9 | 17.3 | 9.9 | 7.33 | 7.74 | 0.049 | 7.34 | 84 | 96 |
| 62 | Day 3 9AM Final | 399.9 | | 16.9 | 9.2 | 7.96 | 8.16 | 0.209 | 3.46 | | |
| 69 | Day 3 4PM Final | 376.3 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.97 | 7.56 | 0.197 | 3.35 | | |
| 72 | Day 3 Initial | 321.1 | 378.5 | 17.0 | 10.1 | 7.39 | 8.44 | 0.060 | 7.99 | 84 | 96 |
| 86 | Day 4 9AM Final | 364.1 | | 16.7 | 9.7 | 8.07 | 7.8 | 0.253 | 3.10 | | |
| 93 | Day 4 4PM Final | 362.8 | | 16.9 | 9.6 | 7.86 | 7.76 | 0.160 | 3.46 | | |
| 96 | Day 4 Initial | 303.3 | 364.2 | 16.2 | 10.1 | 7.24 | 8.28 | 0.040 | 7.79 | 84 | 94 |
| 110 | Day 5 9AM Final | 360.4 | | 16.8 | 9.7 | 7.91 | 7.4 | 0.169 | 2.94 | | |
| 117 | Day 5 4PM Final | 345.4 | | 16.6 | 9.6 | 8.01 | 8.48 | 0.239 | 3.96 | | |
| 120 | Day 5 Initial | 300.6 | 358.0 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 7.38 | 8.2 | 0.056 | 7.04 | 76 | 92 |
| 134 | Day 6 9AM Final | 334.9 | | 16.7 | 9.4 | 8.04 | 7.44 | 0.227 | 3.32 | | |
| 141 | Day 6 4PM Final | 346.9 | | 16.8 | 9.4 | 7.82 | 8.0 | 0.149 | 3.96 | | |
| 144 | Day 6 Initial | 337.7 | 398.0 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 7.32 | 8.52 | 0.052 | 18.0 | 92 | 100 |
| 158 | Day 7 9AM Final | 335.6 | | 17.0 | 9.1 | 7.96 | 8.0 | 0.208 | 3.50 | | |
| 162 | Day 7 1PM Final | 353.2 | | 16.7 | 9.4 | 7.87 | 7.56 | 0.157 | 5.89 | | |

Table 5 a. Effect of 96-h exposure to copper on percent survival of 47-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on April 21, 2010.

| Treatment | 96-hr Survival (%) | |
|---|--------------------|------|
| | Mean | SE |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ 900 uS/cm | 93.3 | 6.7 |
| FWH + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| FWH + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 86.7 | 6.7 |
| FWH + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 86.7 | 13.3 |
| FWH + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 6.7 | 6.7 |

| Effect Threshold | Cu ²⁺ (µg/L) | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | Estimate | 95% CI |
| 96-hr Survival: LC10 | 110.7 | 54.5 - 139.5 |
| LC25 | 128.0 | 75.9 - 156.8 |
| LC50 | 150.3 | 106.6 - 183.8 |
| NOEC | 106 | - |
| LOEC | 213 | - |

Table 7-2. Water quality data for the 96-hour copper test with 46-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on 6/10/09.

| Treatment | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | | | DO (mg/L) | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----|---|-----------|-----|---|
| | | | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ 900 uS/cm | 798 | 920 | 17.8 | 0.6 | 4 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 4 |
| FWH + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 787 | 912 | 17.7 | 0.5 | 4 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 4 |
| FWH + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 791 | 922 | 17.6 | 0.4 | 4 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 4 |
| FWH + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 784 | 914 | 17.6 | 0.5 | 4 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 4 |
| FWH + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 781 | 903 | 17.7 | 0.3 | 4 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 4 |

| Treatment | pH | | | Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) | | | Unionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | |
|--|------|------|---|----------------------------|------|---|-----------------------------|-------|---|
| | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ 900 uS/cm | 7.86 | 0.11 | 4 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 3 |
| FWH + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.88 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 3 |
| FWH + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.85 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 3 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 3 |
| FWH + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.88 | 0.09 | 4 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 3 |
| FWH + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.83 | 0.08 | 4 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 3 |

Table 8-1. Effect of 96-h exposure to copper on percent survival of 51-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on 6/24/09.

| Treatment | 96-hr Survival (%) | |
|--|--------------------|------|
| | Mean | SE |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ 900 uS/cm | 53 | 26.7 |
| FWH + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 47 | 24.0 |
| FWH + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 87 | 13.3 |

| FHW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 40 | 23.1 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| FHW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 13 | 6.7 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Effect Threshold | Cu ²⁺ (µg/L) | |
| | Estimate | 95% CI |
| 96-hr Survival: LC10 | 64.4 | < 27 - 175.4 |
| LC25 | 86.2 | < 27 - 191.7 |
| LC50 | 133.8 | 10.3 - 241.9 |
| NOEC | 213 | - |
| LOEC | > 213 | - |

Table 8-2. Water quality data for the 96-hour copper test with 51-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on 6/24/09.

| Treatment | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | | | DO (mg/L) | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----|---|-----------|-----|---|
| | | | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FW) @ 900 uS/cm | 774 | 903 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 4 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 4 |
| FW + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 799 | 931 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 4 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 4 |
| FW + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 791 | 929 | 17.4 | 0.3 | 4 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 4 |
| FW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 790 | 923 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 4 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 4 |
| FW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 774 | 906 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 4 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 4 |

| Treatment | pH | | | Ammonia/um (mg/L) | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|--|------|------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | Mean | SD | N | | | | | |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FW) @ 900 uS/cm | 7.71 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.12 | 0.002 | 0.74 | 100 | 79 |
| FW + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.70 | 0.12 | 4 | 0.13 | 0.002 | - | - | - |
| FW + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.70 | 0.09 | 4 | 0.12 | 0.002 | - | - | - |
| FW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.72 | 0.09 | 4 | 0.10 | 0.002 | - | - | - |
| FW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.73 | 0.11 | 4 | 0.08 | 0.002 | - | - | - |

Table 9-1. Effect of 96-h exposure to copper on percent survival of 47-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on 07/08/09.

| Treatment | 96-hr Survival (%) | |
|--|--------------------|-----|
| | mean | se |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FW) @ 900 uS/cm | 93 | 6.7 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| FHW + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 80 | 11.5 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 67 | 6.7 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 33 | 17.6 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 20 | 20.0 |

| Effect Threshold | Cu ²⁺ (µg/L) | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | Estimate | 95% CI |
| 96-hr Survival: LC10 | 9.3 | < 27 - 77.8 |
| LC25 | 44.8 | < 27 - 83.1 |
| LC50 | 80.4 | 48.7 - 227.2 |
| NOEC | 53 | - |
| LOEC | 106 | - |

Table 9-2. Water quality data for the 96-hour copper test with 47-d old delta smelt larvae. This test was initiated on 07/08/09.

| Treatment | EC (uS/cm) | SC (uS/cm) | Temp (°C) | | | DO (mg/L) | | | pH | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----|---|-----------|-----|---|------|------|---|
| | | | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) @ 900 uS/cm | 770 | 931 | 16.8 | 0.8 | 4 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 4 | 7.86 | 0.15 | 4 |
| FHW + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 783 | 926 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 4 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 4 | 7.85 | 0.19 | 4 |
| FHW + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 755 | 927 | 16.8 | 0.8 | 4 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 4 | 7.90 | 0.14 | 4 |
| FHW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 780 | 931 | 17.0 | 0.5 | 4 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 4 | 7.93 | 0.13 | 4 |
| FHW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 782 | 931 | 16.9 | 0.5 | 4 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 4 | 7.90 | 0.13 | 4 |

| Treatment | Ammonia/um (mg/L) | | | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | | Turbidity (NTU) | Hardness (mg/L as CaCO ₃) | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO ₃) |
|---|----------------------|------|---|------------------------------|-------|---|--------------------|---|---|
| | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | | | |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FHW) @ 900 uS/cm | 0.03 | 0.03 | 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.84 | 100 | 66 |
| FHW + 27 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 0.04 | 0.02 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 3 | - | - | - |
| FHW + 53 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 3 | - | - | - |
| FHW + 106 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 0.05 | 0.05 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 3 | - | - | - |
| FHW + 213 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 0.03 | 0.05 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 3 | - | - | - |

Table 10-1. Effect of 96-h exposure to copper on percent survival of 148-d old delta smelt juveniles. This test was initiated on 09/16/09.

| Treatment | 96-hr Survival (%) | |
|-----------|--------------------|----|
| | mean | se |

Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ 900

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------|------|
| uS/cm | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 93.3 | 6.7 |
| 150 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| 300 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 6.7 | 6.7 |

| Effect Threshold | Cu ²⁺ (µg/L) | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | Estimate | 95% CI |
| 96-hr Survival: LC10 | 77.2 | < 75 - 88.2 |
| LC25 | 88.0 | 69.2 - 102.8 |
| LC50 | 109.2 | 91.1 - 151.5 |
| NOEC | 75 | - |
| LOEC | 150 | - |

Table 10-2. Water quality data for the 96-hour copper test with 148-d old juvenile delta smelt. This test was initiated on 09/16/09.

| Treatment | Temp (°C) | | | EC (uS/cm) | | | SC (uS/cm) | | | DO (mg/L) | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----|---|------------|----|---|------------|----|---|-----------|-----|---|
| | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900 uS/cm | 16.6 | 0.2 | 4 | 767 | 9 | 2 | 913 | 6 | 2 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 4 |
| 75 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 16.7 | 0.3 | 4 | 757 | 4 | 2 | 904 | 6 | 2 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 4 |
| 150 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 16.7 | 0.4 | 4 | 766 | 17 | 2 | 911 | 4 | 2 | 8.6 | 1.9 | 4 |
| 300 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 16.5 | 1.0 | 4 | 754 | 16 | 2 | 917 | 3 | 2 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 4 |

| Treatment | pH | | | Total Ammonia/um (mg/L) | | | Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L) | | |
|---------------------------------|------|------|---|-------------------------|------|---|---------------------------|-------|---|
| | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N |
| Filtered Hatchery Water (FWH) @ | | | | | | | | | |
| 900 uS/cm | 7.49 | 0.42 | 4 | 0.360 | 0.18 | 2 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 2 |
| 75 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.56 | 0.34 | 4 | 0.275 | 0.11 | 2 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 2 |
| 150 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.60 | 0.34 | 4 | 0.255 | 0.09 | 2 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 2 |
| 300 ppb Cu ²⁺ | 7.65 | 0.28 | 4 | 0.245 | 0.04 | 2 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 2 |

Responses to Comments

Comments on the Draft Report submitted August 23, 2010, were received from representatives of the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD). The document provided by SRCSD and responses to comments can be found below.

Comments 1-3: We use maximum concentrations and pH and T extremes to account for worst case scenarios, which must be considered in environmental regulation. However, SRCSD comments and associated data provided are included in the final report.

Comment 4. We agree that this paragraph is somewhat confusing and have added "...suggesting that Sacramento River water alone was somewhat detrimental to delta smelt survival." We hope that this, along with the data in the respective table, is now easy to understand.

Comments 5, 6. We are not familiar with the term "unbounded NOEC" and will therefore abstain from including the text suggested by the reviewers. However, we added "Effect concentrations may vary in response to changes in effluent quality or unknown variations in delta smelt sensitivity..."

Comment 7. See above.

Comment 8. We included "...especially, if they can be linked to individual survival, growth or reproduction."

Comment 9. Much to our regret, the *in situ* tests with larval delta smelt performed by Werner et al. were unsuccessful. This species is too delicate to expose in currently available *in situ* systems.

Comment 10. Seven-day LC50s were determined in 2009 and reported in Werner et al. 2009 b.



Main Office

10060 Goethe Road

Sacramento, CA 95827-3553

Tele: [916] 876-6000

Fax: [916] 876-6160

Sacramento Regional Wastewater

Treatment Plant

8521 Laguna Station Road

Elk Grove, CA 95758-9550

Tele: [916] 875-9000

Fax: [916] 875-9068

Board of Directors

Representing:

County of Sacramento

County of Yolo

City of Citrus Heights

City of Elk Grove

City of Folsom

City of Rancho Cordova

City of Sacramento

City of West Sacramento

Stan R. Dean
District Engineer

Prabhakar Somavarapu
Director of Policy and Planning

Ruben R. Robles
Director of Operations

Marcia Maurer
Chief Financial Officer

Claudia Goss
Director of Communications

September 21, 2010

Dr. Inge Werner
Aquatic Toxicology Program
School of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Anatomy, Physiology and Cell Biology
University of California
Davis CA 95616

Via email to iwerner@ucdavis.edu

Re: Comments on *Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species* - Draft Final Report. Submitted to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board on August 23rd, 2010

Dear Dr. Werner:

The Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD) is pleased to provide you with the attached comments on your draft final report *Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species* by Werner, I., L.A. Deanovic, M. Stillway, and D. Markiewicz. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important study as a member of the Pelagic Organism Decline (POD) Contaminant Work Team and as a partner with the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB) and UC Davis Aquatic Toxicity Lab (ATL). We are pleased to continue providing our technical input and logistical support that have helped make these studies successful and have added value due to SRCSDs knowledge of water quality.

This draft report gives a clear presentation of the study findings and SRCSD agrees with the report conclusions. We are providing supplemental information and minor editorial suggestions in the attached specific comments that we believe will help clarify the environmental relevance of the data. Environmental relevance has always been a focus of these studies as defined in the original sampling and analysis plan "The Effects of Wastewater Treatment Effluent Associated Contaminants on Delta Smelt Ammonia Toxicity Sampling and Analysis Plan - Final. Werner, Irvine, and Foe 7/28/08." As an example of the focus on environmental relevance, the hypothesis from the original plan states:

"Hypothesis 1: Delta smelt survival is negatively impacted (i.e., increased mortality) by ambient ammonia concentrations in the Sacramento River with increasing concentrations causing increased mortality under the study conditions."

Dr. Inge Werner
September 21, 2010
Page 2

SRCSO was under the impression that follow-up testing was to be performed with the same focus. However, when ambient conditions are discussed in the report they reflect only extreme conditions. Including averages or likely environmentally relevant conditions in the Delta would further support the original intent of the work effort. SRCSD suggests that the report describe the environmental relevance related to the effect levels from SRWTP effluent (i.e., that no adverse effects to smelt and rainbow trout were observed at over 20 times the average percent effluent and ammonia concentrations found in the Sacramento River at the time of this testing).

SRCSO is pleased to have been a partner in these investigations with the UC Davis ATL and CVRWQCB and is available to continue searching for solutions to the POD. If you would like further information, please contact me at dornl@sacsewer.com or 916-876-6030.

Sincerely,



Linda Dorn
Environmental Program Manager

Attachment: SRCSD specific comments on *Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species* Draft Final Report Submitted to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board on August 23rd, 2010, by Werner, I., L.A. Deanovic, M. Stillway, and D. Markiewicz.

cc: Pamela Creedon, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
Chris Foe, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
Stephanie Fong, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
Stan Dean, District Engineer
Prabhakar Somavarapu, Director of Policy and Planning
Rueben Robles, Director of Operations
Mitch Maidrand, Principal Civil Engineer
Terrie Mitchell, Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Manager
Debbie Webster, Executive Officer, Central Valley Clean Water Agencies

ATTACHMENT

SRCSO specific comments on *Acute Toxicity of SRWTP Effluent to Delta Smelt and Surrogate Species* Draft Final Report Submitted to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board on August 23rd, 2010, by Werner, I., L.A. Deanovic, M. Stillway, and D. Markiewicz.

SECTION 2 – BACKGROUND

Comment 1: Page 5, fourth paragraph 1. It would be very helpful, and support the environmental relevance of tested concentrations, to describe the average ammonia/ium and ammonia concentrations in the Sacramento River that you have encountered in addition to the maximum concentrations that are discussed.

Comment 2: Page 6, Table 1. This table presents the Ambient Water Quality Criteria (USEPA 1999) for extreme pH and temperatures measured in the Sacramento River at Hood. It would be very helpful to provide averages in addition to these extreme values to support the environmental relevance of the test conditions.

Comment 3: Page 6, first paragraph. It would be very helpful to describe the environmental relevance of these test conditions by presenting the actual dilution ratios of SRWTP effluent in the Sacramento River during the time of testing, and the average dilution ratio. The percent of SRWTP effluent typically present in the Sacramento River is averages approximately 2% over a year, while the instantaneous maximum permitted dilution ratio – which is seldom met and never sustained – is 7% (14:1). These dilution ratios averaged 1.2% of river flows during sampling days for 2010 smelt tests (Table 1).

Table 1. Effluent dilution ratios on sample collection days for 2010 Smelt toxicity studies.

| Date | Average Dilation Ratio of SRWTP Effluent in the Sacramento River (60 MIN EFFLUENT DILUTION RATIO AVG) (permitted maximum is 14:1) | Mean Percent Effluent in the Sacramento River below the SRWTP Discharge (%) |
|-----------|---|---|
| 4/21/2010 | 90.2 : 1 | 1.1% |
| 4/22/2010 | 105.6 : 1 | 0.9% |
| 4/23/2010 | 118.8 : 1 | 0.8% |
| 4/24/2010 | 119.5 : 1 | 0.8% |
| 4/25/2010 | 109.3 : 1 | 0.9% |
| 4/26/2010 | 96.4 : 1 | 1.0% |
| 4/27/2010 | 90.4 : 1 | 1.1% |
| 5/19/2010 | 68.1 : 1 | 1.5% |
| 5/20/2010 | 72.8 : 1 | 1.4% |
| 5/21/2010 | 70.3 : 1 | 1.4% |
| 5/22/2010 | 75.1 : 1 | 1.3% |
| 5/23/2010 | 76.1 : 1 | 1.3% |
| 5/24/2010 | 71 : 1 | 1.4% |
| 5/25/2010 | 70.6 : 1 | 1.4% |
| 6/16/2010 | 99.2 : 1 | 1.0% |
| 6/17/2010 | 91.7 : 1 | 1.1% |
| 6/18/2010 | 82.7 : 1 | 1.2% |
| 6/19/2010 | 83.7 : 1 | 1.2% |
| 6/20/2010 | 81.9 : 1 | 1.2% |
| 6/21/2010 | 78.9 : 1 | 1.3% |

| Date | Average Dilution Ratio of SRWTP Effluent in the Sacramento River (60 MIN EFFLUENT DILUTION RATIO AVG) (permitted maximum | | Mean Percent Effluent in the Sacramento River below the SRWTP |
|-----------|---|-----|--|
| | | | |
| 6/22/2010 | 80.3 | : 1 | 1.2% |
| Average | 93.8 | : 1 | 1.2% |

SECTION 4 – RESULTS

SECTION 4.1.1 SRWTP EFFLUENT EXPOSURES

Comment 4: page 15, first paragraph. Several comparisons are made in this paragraph between the smelt survival in various controls and effluent dilutions. Readers may be confused when they read in the second sentence that there were no significant effects, but then learn in the last sentence that there were significant effects. It would be helpful to clarify in the second sentence that there were no significant differences between smelt survival in effluent dilutions compared to Garcia Bend reference site samples.

SECTION 6 – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Comment 5: page 26, fourth paragraph. While not all of the information desired in the attempt to answer question 1 was obtained, these tests did identify unbounded NOECs for percent SRWTP effluent, ammonia/ammonium, and ammonia. Please indicate that the highest tested concentrations represent unbounded NOECs for 48-day old delta smelt survival are 28% effluent, 6.12 – 7.82 mg/L ammonia/ium, and 0.076 – 0.144 mg/L ammonia. These unbounded NOECs are critical to answering question 1 with all toxicity data where delta smelt are exposed to effluent and ammonia mixtures because these NOECs overlap other effect concentrations.

Comment 6: page 26, fifth paragraph. Since identifying “the range of no (NOEC) and low (LOEC) effect ranges of SRWTP effluent mixed into Sacramento River water” was a goal of this study, it would be extremely helpful to indicate the ranges of NOEC and LOEC concentrations determined for delta smelt in previous studies in addition to the range of LC50s that are currently discussed. Reporting the current unbounded NOECs in relation to previous test results (NOECs and LOECs) demonstrates that these values vary in response to changes in effluent quality or unknown variations in smelt sensitivity.

SECTION 7 – UNCERTAINTIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

Comment 7: page 27, second paragraph. The final conclusion could be further supported by describing the environmental relevance related to the effect levels from SRWTP effluent (i.e., that no adverse effects to smelt and rainbow trout were observed at over 20 times the average percent effluent and ammonia concentrations found in the Sacramento River at the time of this testing).

Comment 8: page 28, fifth bullet. It might be helpful to mention that biomarkers are excellent measures of exposures, but should be linked to individual survival, growth, and reproduction in order to be relevant in comparison to USEPA water quality criteria.

Comment 9: page 28, sixth bullet. This comment indicates that *in situ* methods should be used to monitor ambient toxicity. It would be helpful to refer the readers to Werner et al. (2010) which describes preliminary *in situ* toxicity testing with delta smelt in several locations in the Delta, including at Hood.

Comment 10: page 28. Please consider adding the recommendation to conduct 7-day LC50 data for larvae delta smelt exposed to ammonia/ium. This is a critical data gap to allow comparison with the 7-day bioassays. Only 96-hour (four-day) ammonia LC50s are compared with the 7-day bioassays used in the current study. To our knowledge, only one 7-day LC50 study has been conducted, and this was with juvenile smelt (149 dph) which were shown to be less sensitive to ammonia than larvae used in effluent-ammonia bioassays.